## Uniqueness of norm-preserving extensions of functionals on strict ideals

Julia Martsinkevitš

University of Tartu, Estonia

19 October 2017

Conference on Non-Linear Functional Analysis, Valencia

19.10.2017 1 / 13

The presentation is based on joint research with Märt Põldvere.

[1] J. Martsinkevitš and M. Põldvere,

Uniqueness of norm-preserving extensions of functionals on the space of compact operators, submitted paper, 2017.

[2] J. Martsinkevitš and M. Põldvere,

*On the structure of the dual unit ball of strict u-ideals,* submitted paper, 2017.

Let X be a Banach space over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ). Let Y be a closed subspace of X.

Let X be a Banach space over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ). Let Y be a closed subspace of X.

- G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar (1993):
  - Y is an ideal in X if there exists a bounded linear norm-one projection P on X\* with

$$\ker P = Y^{\perp} := \{ x^* \in X^* \colon x^* | _Y = 0 \}.$$

Let X be a Banach space over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ). Let Y be a closed subspace of X.

- G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar (1993):
  - Y is an ideal in X if there exists a bounded linear norm-one projection P on X\* with

$$\ker P = Y^{\perp} := \{ x^* \in X^* \colon x^* | _Y = 0 \}.$$

• Y is a strict ideal in X if Y is an ideal in X with respect to a projection P on X\* and the range of P is norming for X, i.e.,

$$\|x\| = \sup_{x^* \in B_{\operatorname{ran} P}} |x^*(x)|$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

3 / 13

Let X be a Banach space over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ). Let Y be a closed subspace of X.

- G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar (1993):
  - Y is an ideal in X if there exists a bounded linear norm-one projection P on X\* with

$$\ker P = Y^{\perp} := \{ x^* \in X^* \colon x^* | Y = 0 \}.$$

• Y is a strict ideal in X if Y is an ideal in X with respect to a projection P on X<sup>\*</sup> and the range of P is norming for X, i.e.,

$$||x|| = \sup_{x^* \in B_{\operatorname{ran} P}} |x^*(x)|$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

Y is a *u*-ideal in X if Y is an ideal in X with respect to a projection P on X<sup>\*</sup> and ||I − 2P|| = 1.

2017 3/13

## Some background

If P is an ideal projection for Y in X, then

for every x<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup>, the functional Px<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup> is a norm-preserving extension of the restriction x<sup>\*</sup>|<sub>Y</sub> ∈ Y<sup>\*</sup>;

## Some background

If P is an ideal projection for Y in X, then

- for every x<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup>, the functional Px<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup> is a norm-preserving extension of the restriction x<sup>\*</sup>|<sub>Y</sub> ∈ Y<sup>\*</sup>;
- the mapping

$$J_P\colon Y^*\ni y^*\mapsto Px^*\in X^*,$$

where  $x^* \in X^*$  is any extension of  $y^*$ , is a linear isometry; in particular, ran  $J_P = \operatorname{ran} P$  and ran  $P \cong Y^*$ ;

## Some background

If P is an ideal projection for Y in X, then

- for every x<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup>, the functional Px<sup>\*</sup> ∈ X<sup>\*</sup> is a norm-preserving extension of the restriction x<sup>\*</sup>|<sub>Y</sub> ∈ Y<sup>\*</sup>;
- the mapping

$$J_P\colon Y^*\ni y^*\mapsto Px^*\in X^*,$$

where  $x^* \in X^*$  is any extension of  $y^*$ , is a linear isometry; in particular, ran  $J_P = \operatorname{ran} P$  and ran  $P \cong Y^*$ ;

• each  $x \in X$  induces a functional  $x_P \in Y^{**}$  defined by

$$x_P(y^*) = (J_P y^*)(x), \qquad y^* \in Y^*.$$

If *P* is strict, the mapping  $x \mapsto x_P$  is an isometry and one can identify *X* with the closed subspace  $X_P = \{x_P \in Y^{**} : x \in X\}$  of  $Y^{**}$ .

(1) Y is an ideal in its bidual  $Y^{**}$  with respect to the canonical projection  $\pi_Y := j_{Y^*}(j_Y)^*$  where  $j_Y : Y \to Y^{**}$  and  $j_{Y^*} : Y^* \to Y^{***}$  are canonical embeddings;

- (1) Y is an ideal in its bidual  $Y^{**}$  with respect to the canonical projection  $\pi_Y := j_{Y^*}(j_Y)^*$  where  $j_Y : Y \to Y^{**}$  and  $j_{Y^*} : Y^* \to Y^{***}$  are canonical embeddings;
- (2)  $c_0$  is a strict *u*-ideal in its bidual.

#### Proposition 1 (V. Lima and Å. Lima, 2009)

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in X. Then every ideal projection for Y in X is strict.

< ∃ ►

#### Proposition 1 (V. Lima and Å. Lima, 2009)

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in X. Then every ideal projection for Y in X is strict.

Denote

$$\triangleright \tau_P := \sigma(Y^*, X_P),$$

 $\triangleright \ \mathcal{C} := \{y^* \in \ S_{Y^*} \colon y^* \text{ has a unique norm-preserving extension to } X\}.$ 

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

#### Proposition 1 (V. Lima and Å. Lima, 2009)

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in X. Then every ideal projection for Y in X is strict.

Denote

$$\triangleright \ \tau_P := \sigma(Y^*, X_P),$$

 $\triangleright \ \mathcal{C} := \{y^* \in \ S_{Y^*} \colon y^* \text{ has a unique norm-preserving extension to } X\}.$ 

#### Proposition 2

Let Y be a strict ideal in X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . If  $B_{Y^*} = \overline{co}^{\tau_P}(\mathcal{C})$ , then every ideal projection for Y in X is strict.

#### Theorem 3

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in a separable Banach space X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . Then  $B_{Y^*} = \overline{co}^{\tau_P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

#### Theorem 3

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in a separable Banach space X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . Then  $B_{Y^*} = \overline{co}^{\tau_P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

#### THE QUESTION:

Whether the aforecited theorem holds if we remove the assumption of separability?

## Description of functionals in $S_{Y^*}$ admitting a unique norm-preserving extension to X

#### Proposition 4

Let Y be a strict ideal in X with respect to a projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ , and let  $y^* \in S_{Y^*}$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $y^*$  has a unique norm-preserving extension to X;

(ii)  $y^*$  is a weak\*-to- $\tau_P$ -PC of  $B_{Y^*}$ , i.e., for any net  $(y^*_{\alpha})$  in  $B_{Y^*}$ ,

$$y^*_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*}_{\alpha} y^* \implies y^*_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\tau_P} y^*,$$

i.e., whenever

$$y^*_{lpha}(y) \xrightarrow[]{\alpha} y^*(y)$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ ,

one has

$$J_P y^*_{\alpha}(x) = x_P(y^*_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow[]{\alpha} x_P(y^*) = J_P y^*(x)$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

19.10.2017 8 / 13

## Generalisation of "ordinary" dentability and denting points

Let Z be a Banach space, and let  $\tau$  be a locally convex topology on Z. Given a  $z \in Z$ , a seminorm p on Z, and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{U}_p(z,\varepsilon) := \{ v \in Z \colon p(v-z) < \varepsilon \}.$$

## Generalisation of "ordinary" dentability and denting points

Let Z be a Banach space, and let  $\tau$  be a locally convex topology on Z. Given a  $z \in Z$ , a seminorm p on Z, and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{U}_p(z,\varepsilon) := \{ v \in Z \colon p(v-z) < \varepsilon \}.$$

Suppose that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are locally convex topologies on Z such that  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \subset \tau_{\parallel \cdot \parallel}$ . Let C be a non-empty bounded subset of Z.

The set C is (τ<sub>1</sub>, τ<sub>2</sub>)-dentable if, whenever p is a τ<sub>2</sub>-continuous seminorm on Z and ε > 0, there is an x ∈ C such that

$$x \notin \overline{\operatorname{co}}^{\tau_1}(C \setminus \mathcal{U}_p(x,\varepsilon)). \tag{1}$$

## Generalisation of "ordinary" dentability and denting points

Let Z be a Banach space, and let  $\tau$  be a locally convex topology on Z. Given a  $z \in Z$ , a seminorm p on Z, and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{U}_p(z,\varepsilon) := \{ v \in Z \colon p(v-z) < \varepsilon \}.$$

Suppose that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are locally convex topologies on Z such that  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \subset \tau_{\parallel \cdot \parallel}$ . Let C be a non-empty bounded subset of Z.

The set C is (τ<sub>1</sub>, τ<sub>2</sub>)-dentable if, whenever p is a τ<sub>2</sub>-continuous seminorm on Z and ε > 0, there is an x ∈ C such that

$$x \notin \overline{\operatorname{co}}^{\tau_1}(C \setminus \mathcal{U}_p(x,\varepsilon)). \tag{1}$$

• A point  $x \in C$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -denting point of C if, whenever p is a  $\tau_2$ -continuous seminorm on Z and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has (1).

Dentability in locally convex spaces with two comparable topologies has been studied by M. Fundo (1997, 1999).

Julia Martsinkevitš

9 / 13

## Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3 follows from Propositions 5 and 6.

#### Proposition 5

Let Y be a strict ideal in X with respect to a projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ , and let  $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) y\* is an extreme point of B<sub>Y\*</sub> having a unique norm-preserving extension to X;
- (ii)  $y^*$  is both an extreme point and a weak\*-to- $\tau_P$ -PC of  $B_{Y^*}$ ;

(iii)  $y^*$  is a (weak<sup>\*</sup>,  $\tau_P$ )-denting point of  $B_{Y^*}$ .

• • = • • = •

## Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3 follows from Propositions 5 and 6.

#### Proposition 5

Let Y be a strict ideal in X with respect to a projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ , and let  $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) y\* is an extreme point of B<sub>Y\*</sub> having a unique norm-preserving extension to X;
- (ii)  $y^*$  is both an extreme point and a weak\*-to- $\tau_P$ -PC of  $B_{Y^*}$ ;
- (iii)  $y^*$  is a (weak<sup>\*</sup>,  $\tau_P$ )-denting point of  $B_{Y^*}$ .

#### Proposition 6

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in a separable Banach space X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . Then  $B_{Y^*}$  is the  $\tau_P$ -closed convex hull of its (weak<sup>\*</sup>,  $\tau_P$ )-denting points.

Julia Martsinkevitš

#### Theorem 3

Let Y be a strict u-ideal in a separable Banach space X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . Then  $B_{Y^*} = \overline{co}^{\tau_P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

< ∃ ►

## $(\tau_P, \tau_P)$ -dentability of bounded sets in dual spaces of strict ideals in separable spaces

#### Theorem 7

Let X be a separable Banach space. Then every non-empty bounded subset of the dual space  $X^*$  is (weak<sup>\*</sup>, weak<sup>\*</sup>)-dentable.

Proof of Theorem 7 relies on standard martingale techniques.

# $(\tau_P, \tau_P)$ -dentability of bounded sets in dual spaces of strict ideals in separable spaces

#### Theorem 7

Let X be a separable Banach space. Then every non-empty bounded subset of the dual space  $X^*$  is (weak<sup>\*</sup>, weak<sup>\*</sup>)-dentable.

Proof of Theorem 7 relies on standard martingale techniques.

#### Corollary 8

Let Y be a strict ideal in a separable Banach space X with respect to an ideal projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . Then every non-empty bounded subset of  $Y^*$  is  $(\tau_P, \tau_P)$ -dentable.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Thank you for attention!

Julia Martsinkevitš Uniqueness of norm-preserving extensions of functionals on strict ideals 19.10.2017 13 / 13

< 3 >