

On the chances to extend a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two operator algebras

Antonio M. Peralta



ugr

Universidad de Granada

Departamento de Análisis Matemático

MINECO project no. MTM2014-58984-P

A tribute to Joe Diestel



Conference on Non-Linear Functional Analysis,
5th Workshop on Functional Analysis,
Universitat Politècnica de València
Valencia, 17-20 October, 2017



My gratitude to the Scientific-organizing committee

- ✓ José Bonet
- ✓ Daniel Carando
- ✓ Bernardo Cascales
- ✓ Verónica Dimant
- ✓ Domingo García
- ✓ Silvia Lassalle
- ✓ Manuel Maestre
- ✓ José Orihuela
- ✓ Matías Raja
- ✓ Pablo Sevilla



Introduction to the problem

The open unit ball $\overset{\circ}{B}_X$ of a real or complex Banach space X encloses too much information about the space X . An illustrative example in the setting of complex Banach spaces is the so-called “*Cartan’s uniqueness theorem*”.



Introduction to the problem

The open unit ball $\overset{\circ}{B}_X$ of a real or complex Banach space X encloses too much information about the space X . An illustrative example in the setting of complex Banach spaces is the so-called “*Cartan’s uniqueness theorem*”.

[W. Kaup, H. Upmeyer, Manuscripta Math. 1977]

Two complex Banach spaces whose open unit balls are biholomorphically equivalent in Fréchet’s sense are linearly isometric as Banach spaces.



Introduction to the problem

The open unit ball $\overset{\circ}{B}_X$ of a real or complex Banach space X encloses too much information about the space X . An illustrative example in the setting of complex Banach spaces is the so-called “*Cartan’s uniqueness theorem*”.

[W. Kaup, H. Upmeyer, *Manuscripta Math.* 1977]

Two complex Banach spaces whose open unit balls are biholomorphically equivalent in Fréchet’s sense are linearly isometric as Banach spaces.

This result provides an idea about the utility of [infinite-dimensional holomorphy](#) in Functional Analysis. Certain classes of complex Banach spaces (like, for example, JB*-triples) can be defined in terms of the holomorphic properties of their open unit balls. Personally, I have spent time and efforts studying the connections of these classes with Functional Analysis.



Introduction to the problem

The open unit ball $\overset{\circ}{B}_X$ of a real or complex Banach space X encloses too much information about the space X . An illustrative example in the setting of complex Banach spaces is the so-called “*Cartan’s uniqueness theorem*”.

[W. Kaup, H. Upmeyer, *Manuscripta Math.* 1977]

Two complex Banach spaces whose open unit balls are biholomorphically equivalent in Fréchet’s sense are linearly isometric as Banach spaces.

Today, I am talking on a problem which actually goes, a priori, against the foundations supporting the holomorphic structure.

Can we replace the open unit ball with a certainly smaller set with a poor topological structure?

More concretely, Can we infer some information about a Banach space X from the metric space given by its unit sphere equipped with the natural distance?

The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.



The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.

[Tingley's problem (1987)]

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two normed spaces. Does there exist a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T|_{S(X)} = f$?



The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.

[Tingley's problem (1987)]

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two normed spaces. There exist a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T|_{S(X)}$



The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.

[Tingley's problem (1987)]

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two normed spaces. Does there exist a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T|_{S(X)} = f$?

A Banach space X satisfies the *Mazur-Ulam property* provided that for any Banach space Y , every surjective isometry between $S(X)$ and $S(Y)$ is the restriction of a surjective real linear isometry between the two spaces.



The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.

[Tingley's problem (1987)]

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two normed spaces. Does there exist a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T|_{S(X)} = f$?

A Banach space X satisfies the *Mazur-Ulam property* provided that for any Banach space Y , every surjective isometry between $S(X)$ and $S(Y)$ is the restriction of a surjective real linear isometry between the two spaces.

Caution!!

Tingley's problem remains unsolved even in the simplest case of a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two Banach spaces of dimension 2. However, some particular positive answers in classical Banach spaces have produced significant mathematical contributions.

The above question is nothing but the so-called Tingley's problem, named after the contribution of D. Tingley in 1987.

[Tingley's problem (1987)]

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two normed spaces. Does there exist a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T|_{S(X)} = f$?

A Banach space X satisfies the *Mazur-Ulam property* provided that for any Banach space Y , every surjective isometry between $S(X)$ and $S(Y)$ is the restriction of a surjective real linear isometry between the two spaces.

Caution!!

The conjugation on the unit sphere of \mathbb{C} doesn't admit a complex linear extension to the whole \mathbb{C} . Like in the Mazur-Ulam theorem, we cannot expect complex linearity for the extension.

Positive answers to Tingley's problem



Positive answers to Tingley's problem

[G.G. Ding, *Science in China*'2002, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2003, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2004, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2004]

If $f : S(\ell_p(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(\ell_p(\Delta, \mathbb{R}))$ is a surjective isometry (with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then f can be (uniquely) extended to a real linear surjective isometry from $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ onto $\ell_p(\Delta)$.



Positive answers to Tingley's problem

[G.G. Ding, *Science in China*'2002, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2003, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2004, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2004]

If $f : S(\ell_p(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(\ell_p(\Delta, \mathbb{R}))$ is a surjective isometry (with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then f can be (uniquely) extended to a real linear surjective isometry from $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ onto $\ell_p(\Delta)$.

[D. Tan, *Taiwanese J. Math.*'2011, *Nonlinear Anal.*'2011, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2012]

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let Y be a real Banach space. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(L_{\mathbb{R}}^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)) \rightarrow S(Y)$, with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, can be uniquely extended to a surjective real linear isometry from $L_{\mathbb{R}}^p((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$ onto Y . That is, $L_{\mathbb{R}}^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Positive answers to Tingley's problem

[G.G. Ding, *Science in China*'2002, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2003, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2004, *Sci. China Ser. A*'2004]

If $f : S(\ell_p(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(\ell_p(\Delta, \mathbb{R}))$ is a surjective isometry (with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then f can be (uniquely) extended to a real linear surjective isometry from $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ onto $\ell_p(\Delta)$.

[D. Tan, *Taiwanese J. Math.*'2011, *Nonlinear Anal.*'2011, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2012]

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let Y be a real Banach space. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)) \rightarrow S(Y)$, with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, can be uniquely extended to a surjective real linear isometry from $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto Y . In particular, $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.



[R. Wang, *Acta Math. Sci.*, 1994]

Let L_1 and L_2 be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(C_0(L_1)) \rightarrow S(C_0(L_2))$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry from $C_0(L_1)$ onto $C_0(L_2)$.





[R. Wang, *Acta Mathematica* 1994]

Let L_1 and L_2 be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(C_0(L_1)) \rightarrow S(C_0(L_2))$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry from $C_0(L_1)$ onto $C_0(L_2)$.



[R. Wang, *Acta Math. Sci.*, '1994]

Let L_1 and L_2 be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(C_0(L_1)) \rightarrow S(C_0(L_2))$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry from $C_0(L_1)$ onto $C_0(L_2)$.

[X. Fang, J. Wang, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*'2006]

[R. Liu, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, '2007]

Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, and let E be a real normed space. Then every surjective isometry $f : S(C(K, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(E)$ admits an extension to a surjective linear isometry from $C(K, \mathbb{R})$ onto E . That is, $C(K, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property.

Non-commutative structures

Let ℓ_2^n denote a complex n -dimensional Hilbert space. The C^* -algebra $B(\ell_2^n)$ of all bounded linear operators on ℓ_2^n (equipped with the operator norm) can be identified with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.



Non-commutative structures

Let ℓ_2^n denote a complex n -dimensional Hilbert space. The C^* -algebra $B(\ell_2^n)$ of all bounded linear operators on ℓ_2^n (equipped with the operator norm) can be identified with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

[R. Tanaka, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*'2014, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2016, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*'2017]

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the algebra of all complex $n \times n$ matrices and let B be another C^* -algebra. Suppose $f : S(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow S(B)$ is a surjective isometry. Then, there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B$ whose restriction to $S(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is f .

Non-commutative structures

Let ℓ_2^n denote a complex n -dimensional Hilbert space. The C^* -algebra $B(\ell_2^n)$ of all bounded linear operators on ℓ_2^n (equipped with the operator norm) can be identified with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

[R. Tanaka, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*'2014, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2016, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*'2017]

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the algebra of all complex $n \times n$ matrices and let B be another C^* -algebra. Suppose $f : S(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow S(B)$ is a surjective isometry. Then, there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B$ whose restriction to $S(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is f . **Actually $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be replaced by any finite dimensional C^* -algebra. The same conclusion holds when $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and B are replaced with arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras.**

Non-commutative structures

Let ℓ_2^n denote a complex n -dimensional Hilbert space. The C^* -algebra $B(\ell_2^n)$ of all bounded linear operators on ℓ_2^n (equipped with the operator norm) can be identified with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

[R. Tanaka, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*'2014, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2016, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*'2017]

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all complex $n \times n$ matrices and let B be another C^* -algebra. Suppose $f : S(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow S(B)$ is a surjective isometry. Then, there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B$ whose restriction to $S(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is f . Actually $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be replaced by any finite dimensional C^* -algebra. The same conclusion holds when $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and B are replaced with arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras.



After Tanaka's contribution, it seems natural to consider Tingley's problem for surjective isometries between the unit spheres of two operator algebras of the form $K(H)$, $K(H)^* = C_1(H)$, and $K(H)^{**} = B(H)$, where H is an arbitrary complex Hilbert space.



After Tanaka's contribution, it seems natural to consider Tingley's problem for surjective isometries between the unit spheres of two operator algebras of the form $K(H)$, $K(H)^* = C_1(H)$, and $K(H)^{**} = B(H)$, where H is an arbitrary complex Hilbert space.

It is known that every element a in $K(H)$ can be (uniquely) written as a (possibly finite) sum

$$a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \eta_n \otimes \xi_n,$$

where $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, (ξ_n) , (η_n) are orthonormal systems in H . The operator norm can be recovered by the expression $\|a\| = \|a\|_{\infty} = \sup\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

The space $C_1(H)$, of trace class operators on H , that is, the subspace of all $a \in K(H)$ such that

$$\|a\|_1 := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n(a)| \right) < \infty.$$

$C_1(H)$ is a two-sided ideal of the space $B(H)$, and $(C_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach algebra.



One of the key results in the study of Tingley's problem is the following:

[Cheng and Dong, JMAA'2011, Tanaka, JMAA'2016]

A surjective isometry $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ maps a maximal proper face C of B_X to a maximal proper closed face of B_Y .



One of the key results in the study of Tingley's problem is the following:

[Cheng and Dong, JMAA'2011, Tanaka, JMAA'2016]

A surjective isometry $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ maps a maximal proper face C of B_X to a maximal proper closed face of B_Y .

After this result, a description of the facial structure of the Banach spaces under study seems to be a necessary ingredient. We recently obtained a generalization of the above result.



One of the key results in the study of Tingley's problem is the following:

[Cheng and Dong, JMAA'2011, Tanaka, JMAA'2016]

A surjective isometry $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ maps a maximal proper face C of B_X to a maximal proper closed face of B_Y .

After this result, a description of the facial structure of the Banach spaces under study seems to be a necessary ingredient. We recently obtained a generalization of the above result.

We recall some notation. Given a Banach space X , and subsets $F \subseteq B_X$ and $G \subseteq B_{X^*}$, we define

$$F' = \{a \in B_{X^*} : a(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in F\}, \quad G_r = \{x \in B_X : a(x) = 1 \ \forall a \in G\}.$$

Then, F' is a weak* closed face of B_{X^*} and G_r is a norm closed face of B_X . The subset F is said to be a *norm-semi-exposed face* of B_X if $F = (F')_r$, while the subset G is called a *weak*-semi-exposed face* of B_{X^*} if $G = (G_r)'$.

Accordingly to the above notation, our result reads as follows:



Accordingly to the above notation, our result reads as follows:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2017]

Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) Every norm closed face of B_X (respectively, of B_Y) is norm-semi-exposed;
- (2) Every weak* closed proper face of B_{X^*} (respectively, of B_{Y^*}) is weak*-semi-exposed.



Accordingly to the above notation, our result reads as follows:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) Every norm closed face of B_X (respectively, of B_Y) is norm-semi-exposed;
- (2) Every weak* closed proper face of B_{X^*} (respectively, of B_{Y^*}) is weak*-semi-exposed.

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry. The following statements hold:

- (a) Let \mathcal{F} be a convex set in $S(X)$. Then \mathcal{F} is a norm closed face of B_X if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is a norm closed face of B_Y ;



Accordingly to the above notation, our result reads as follows:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) Every norm closed face of B_X (respectively, of B_Y) is norm-semi-exposed;
- (2) Every weak* closed proper face of B_{X^*} (respectively, of B_{Y^*}) is weak*-semi-exposed.

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry. The following statements hold:

- (a) Let \mathcal{F} be a convex set in $S(X)$. Then \mathcal{F} is a norm closed face of B_X if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is a norm closed face of B_Y ;
- (b) Given $e \in S(X)$, we have that $e \in \partial_e(B_X)$ if and only if $f(e) \in \partial_e(B_Y)$. \square



Accordingly to the above notation, our result reads as follows:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2017]

Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) Every norm closed face of B_X (respectively, of B_Y) is norm-semi-exposed;
- (2) Every weak* closed proper face of B_{X^*} (respectively, of B_{Y^*}) is weak*-semi-exposed.

Let $f : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$ be a surjective isometry. The following statements hold:

- (a) Let \mathcal{F} be a convex set in $S(X)$. Then \mathcal{F} is a norm closed face of B_X if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is a norm closed face of B_Y ;
- (b) Given $e \in S(X)$, we have that $e \in \partial_e(B_X)$ if and only if $f(e) \in \partial_e(B_Y)$. \square

Let us observe that C^* -algebras and preduals of von Neumann algebras satisfy the hypothesis of the above theorem.

In the case of $K(H)$ we know the precise form of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball.



In the case of $K(H)$ we know the precise form of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball.

[C.A. Akemann and G.K. Pedersen, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*'1992]

Let C be a maximal norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{K(H)}$. Then there exists a unique rank-one partial isometry $e = \eta \otimes \xi \in K(H)$ such that

$$C = e + \{x \in K(H) : \|x\| \leq 1, x = (1 - \eta \otimes \eta)x(1 - \xi \otimes \xi)\} = e + (\mathcal{B}_{K(H)} \cap \{e\}^\perp).$$



In the case of $K(H)$ we know the precise form of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball.

[C.A. Akemann and G.K. Pedersen, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 15 (1975), 277-286]

Let C be a maximal norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{K(H)}$. Then there exists a unique rank-one partial isometry $e = \eta \otimes \xi \in K(H)$ such that

$$C = e + \{x \in K(H) : \|x\| \leq 1, x = (1 - \eta \otimes \eta)x(1 - \xi \otimes \xi)\} = e + (\mathcal{B}_{K(H)} \cap \{e\}^\perp).$$



In the case of $K(H)$ we know the precise form of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball.

[C.A. Akemann and G.K. Pedersen, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*'1992]

Let C be a maximal norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{K(H)}$. Then there exists a unique rank-one partial isometry $e = \eta \otimes \xi \in K(H)$ such that

$$C = e + \{x \in K(H) : \|x\| \leq 1, x = (1 - \eta \otimes \eta)x(1 - \xi \otimes \xi)\} = e + (\mathcal{B}_{K(H)} \cap \{e\}^\perp).$$

Since every maximal norm-closed face is the translation (via a minimal partial isometry e) of the closed unit ball of another Banach space (the closed unit ball of the orthogonal complement of e), we are in position to apply a result of P. Mankiewicz which assures the extension of our surjective isometry to an affine function between the corresponding faces.

More geometric results, like a formula measuring when the distance between minimal partial isometries in $K(H)$ is precisely 2, are combined to obtain our first answer to Tingley's problem.



More geometric results, like a formula measuring when the distance between minimal partial isometries in $K(H)$ is precisely 2, are combined to obtain our first answer to Tingley's problem.

[A.M. Peralta, R. Tanaka, preprint 2016]

Let $f : S(K(H)) \rightarrow S(K(H'))$ be a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : K(H) \rightarrow K(H')$ whose restriction to $S(K(H))$ is f .



In the case of $C_1(H)$ the structure of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball is a bit different.



In the case of $C_1(H)$ the structure of the norm closed faces of its closed unit ball is a bit different.

[C.M. Edwards and G.T. Ruttimann, *J. London Math. Soc.*'1988]

Every (maximal) proper norm-closed face \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{w\}, = \{x \in C_1(H) = B(H)_* : \|x\|_1 = 1 = x(w)\},$$

for a unique (maximal or complete) partial isometry $w \in B(H)$. Furthermore the mapping $w \mapsto \{w\}$, is an order preserving bijection between the lattices of all partial isometries in $B(H)$ and all norm closed faces of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$.



The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:



The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H'))$ be a surjective isometry, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S(C_1(H))$ is a proper norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is.

The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H'))$ be a surjective isometry, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S(C_1(H))$ is a proper norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is.
- (b) f maps $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ into $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H')})$, that is f maps pure atoms to pure atoms;

The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H'))$ be a surjective isometry, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S(C_1(H))$ is a proper norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is.
- (b) f maps $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ into $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H')})$, that is f maps pure atoms to pure atoms;
- (c) $\dim(H) = \dim(H')$.

The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H'))$ be a surjective isometry, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S(C_1(H))$ is a proper norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is.
- (b) f maps $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ into $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H')})$, that is f maps pure atoms to pure atoms;
- (c) $\dim(H) = \dim(H')$.
- (d) For each $e_0 \in \partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ we have $f(ie_0) = if(e_0)$ or $f(ie_0) = -if(e_0)$;

The geometric properties reviewed above are the main tools in the study of Tingley's problem for trace class operators. For example:

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.*'2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H'))$ be a surjective isometry, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S(C_1(H))$ is a proper norm-closed face of $\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)}$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is.
- (b) f maps $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ into $\partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H')})$, that is f maps pure atoms to pure atoms;
- (c) $\dim(H) = \dim(H')$.
- (d) For each $e_0 \in \partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ we have $f(ie_0) = if(e_0)$ or $f(ie_0) = -if(e_0)$;
- (e) For each $e_0 \in \partial_e(\mathcal{B}_{C_1(H)})$ if $f(ie_0) = if(e_0)$ (respectively, $f(ie_0) = -if(e_0)$) then $f(\lambda e_0) = \lambda f(e_0)$ (respectively, $f(\lambda e_0) = \bar{\lambda} f(e_0)$) for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

More geometric formulae are exploited to compute the distance between pure atoms and between finite real linear combinations of pure atoms. More laborious techniques are applied to obtain our answer to Tingely's problem for trace class operators.



More geometric formulae are exploited to compute the distance between pure atoms and between finite real linear combinations of pure atoms. More laborious techniques are applied to obtain our answer to Tingely's problem for trace class operators.

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2017]

Let $f : S(C_1(H)) \rightarrow S(C_1(H))$ be a surjective isometry, where H is an arbitrary complex Hilbert space. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : C_1(H) \rightarrow C_1(H)$ satisfying $f(x) = T(x)$ for every $x \in S(C_1(H))$.



The case of $B(H)$ is completely different and requires completely different techniques and ideas.



The case of $B(H)$ is completely different and requires completely different techniques and ideas.

The first handicap is that maximal norm closed proper faces of the closed unit ball of a C^* -algebra A are determined by minimal partial isometries in its second dual A^{**} .



The case of $B(H)$ is completely different and requires completely different techniques and ideas.

The first handicap is that maximal norm closed proper faces of the closed unit ball of a C^* -algebra A are determined by minimal partial isometries in its second dual A^{**} .

[C.A. Akemann and G.K. Pedersen, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*'1992]

Let A be a C^* -algebra. The maximal norm closed proper faces of the closed unit ball of A have the form

$$F_v = (v + (1 - vv^*)\mathcal{B}_{A^{**}}(1 - v^*v)) \cap \mathcal{B}_A = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_A : xv^* = vv^*\},$$

for some minimal partial isometry v in A^{**} .

When the partial isometry e is already in A we can obtain more information about $f(e)$.



When the partial isometry e is already in A we can obtain more information about $f(e)$.

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear]

Let A and B be C^* -algebras, and suppose that $f : S(A) \rightarrow S(B)$ is a surjective isometry. Suppose e is a minimal partial isometry in A . Then 1 is isolated in the spectrum of $|f(e)| = (f(e)^* f(e))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.



When the partial isometry e is already in A we can obtain more information about $f(e)$.

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear]

Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $f : S(A) \rightarrow S(B(H))$ is a surjective isometry, and let e be a minimal partial isometry in A . Then $f(e)$ is a minimal partial isometry in $B(H)$. Moreover, there exists a surjective real linear isometry

$$T_e : (1 - ee^*)A(1 - e^*e) \rightarrow (1 - f(e)f(e)^*)B(H)(1 - f(e)^*f(e))$$

such that

$$f(e + x) = f(e) + T_e(x), \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_{(1-ee^*)A(1-e^*e)}.$$

In particular the restriction of f to the face $F_e = e + (1 - ee^*)\mathcal{B}_A(1 - e^*e)$ is a real affine function.

A careful treatment on the image by f of a finite convex combinations of mutually orthogonal (minimal) partial isometries, combined with the about geometric results is the remaining ingredient in our solution to Tingley's problem in the case of $B(H)$ spaces.



A careful treatment on the image by f of a finite convex combinations of mutually orthogonal (minimal) partial isometries, combined with the about geometric results is the remaining ingredient in our solution to Tingley's problem in the case of $B(H)$ spaces.

[F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear]

Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces. Suppose that $f : S(B(K)) \rightarrow S(B(H))$ is a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear surjective isometry $T : B(K) \rightarrow B(H)$ satisfying $f(x) = T(x)$, for every $x \in S(B(K))$.









That was all I had in mind for today.
Thanks for your time!



email: aperalta@ugr.es
web: www.ugr.es/local/aperalta



- 
- C.M. Edwards, F.J. Fernández-Polo, C.S. Hoskin, A.M. Peralta, On the facial structure of the unit ball in a
- JB^*
- triple,
- J. Reine Angew. Math.*
- 641**
- , 123-144 (2010).
-
- 
- F.J. Fernández-Polo, J.J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, I. Villanueva, Tingley's problem for spaces of trace class operators,
- Linear Algebra Appl.*
- 529**
- , 294-323 (2017).
-
- 
- F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Low rank compact operators and Tingley's problem, preprint 2016. arXiv:1611.10218v1
-
- 
- F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, On the extension of isometries between the unit spheres of a
- C^*
- algebra and
- $B(H)$
- , to appear in
- Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- arXiv:1701.02916v1
-
- 
- F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Tingley's problem through the facial structure of an atomic
- JBW^*
- triple,
- J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- 455**
- 750-760 (2017). arXiv:1701.05112v1
-
- 
- A.M. Peralta, R. Tanaka, A solution to Tingley's problem for isometries between the unit spheres of compact
- C^*
- algebras and
- JB^*
- triples, preprint 2016. arXiv:1608.06327v1