

The linear structure of some dual Lipschitz-free spaces

Colin PETITJEAN

with L. García-Lirola, A. Procházka and A. Rueda Zoca

Conference on NonLinear Functional Analysis
Valencia, October 17 - 20, 2017

ECOLE DOCTORALE
CARNOT - PASTEUR

(Lm^B)
laboratoire de mathématiques de besançon
UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ • CNRS • UMR 6623



- 1 Lipschitz free spaces
- 2 Natural preduals
- 3 Application to the Extremal Structure
- 4 Uniformly discrete and bounded case

- 1 Lipschitz free spaces
- 2 Natural preduals
- 3 Application to the Extremal Structure
- 4 Uniformly discrete and bounded case

X real Banach space.

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0 .

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0.

$$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$$

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0.

$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (*Best Lipschitz constant of f*)

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0.

$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (*Best Lipschitz constant of f*)

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0.

$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (Best Lipschitz constant of f)

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

For $x \in M$, define $\delta(x) \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\langle \delta(x), f \rangle = f(x)$.

X real Banach space.

(M, d) complete pointed metric space with origin 0.

$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (Best Lipschitz constant of f)

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

For $x \in M$, define $\delta(x) \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\langle \delta(x), f \rangle = f(x)$.

Definition

Lipschitz-free space over M :

$\mathcal{F}(M) := \overline{\text{span} \{\delta(x) : x \in M\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$.

Proposition (Fundamental factorisation property)

$\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

Proposition (Fundamental factorisation property)

$\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry. We write $\text{Lip}_0(M, X) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$.

Proposition (Fundamental factorisation property)

$\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry. We write $\text{Lip}_0(M, X) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$.

Remark :

i) For $X = \mathbb{R}$ we obtain : $\text{Lip}_0(M) \cong \mathcal{F}(M)^*$.

Proposition (Fundamental factorisation property)

$\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry. We write $\text{Lip}_0(M, X) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$.

Remark :

- i) For $X = \mathbb{R}$ we obtain : $\text{Lip}_0(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(M)^*$.
- ii) $M \xrightarrow{\text{bi-Lip}} N \implies \mathcal{F}(N) \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Definition

We define the following closed subspace of $Lip_0(M)$:

Definition

We define the following closed subspace of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$\text{lip}_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

($\sup \emptyset = 0$)

Definition

We define the following closed subspace of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$\text{lip}_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

($\sup \emptyset = 0$)

Examples (Trivial)

① $\text{lip}_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$, and also $\text{lip}_0(X) = \{0\}$ for any Banach X .

Definition

We define the following closed subspace of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$\text{lip}_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

($\sup \emptyset = 0$)

Examples (Trivial)

- (i) $\text{lip}_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$, and also $\text{lip}_0(X) = \{0\}$ for any Banach X .
- (ii) $\text{lip}_0(\mathbb{N}) = Lip_0(\mathbb{N})$, and also $\text{lip}_0(D) = Lip_0(D)$ for any uniformly discrete metric space D .

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

- ① (Weaver) : M the middle-third Cantor set.

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

- i) (Weaver) : M the middle-third Cantor set.
- ii) (Godefroy/Ozawa) : M "small" Cantor set.

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

- i) (Weaver) : M the middle-third Cantor set.
- ii) (Godefroy/Ozawa) : M "small" Cantor set.
- iii) (Dalet) : M countable proper.

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

- (i) (Weaver) : M the middle-third Cantor set.
- (ii) (Godefroy/Ozawa) : M "small" Cantor set.
- (iii) (Dalet) : M countable proper.
- (iv) (Kalton) $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$).

Definition

We say that a subspace $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-separates points uniformly (1-S.P.U.) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \neq y \in M, \exists f \in \text{lip}_0(M)$ with $\|f\|_L \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Examples

For M as follows, $\text{lip}_0(M)$ S.P.U. :

- (i) (Weaver) : M the middle-third Cantor set.
- (ii) (Godefroy/Ozawa) : M "small" Cantor set.
- (iii) (Dalet) : M countable proper.
- (iv) (Kalton) $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$).
- (v) (P) $(X, \|\cdot\|^p)$ where X is a p -Banach space which admits an *FDD*.

- 1 Lipschitz free spaces
- 2 Natural preduals**
- 3 Application to the Extremal Structure
- 4 Uniformly discrete and bounded case

Proposition

For every complete metric space M , $\delta(M)$ is weakly closed.

Proposition

For every complete metric space M , $\delta(M)$ is weakly closed.

Question

It is known that for some M , $\mathcal{F}(M) = X^*$ for some X . In that case, does $\delta(M)$ is $\omega^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ closed?

Proposition

For every complete metric space M , $\delta(M)$ is weakly closed.

Question

It is known that for some M , $\mathcal{F}(M) = X^*$ for some X . In that case, does $\delta(M)$ is $\omega^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ closed?

Definition

Let M be a bounded metric space. We say that a Banach space X is a natural preduel of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ if $X^* = \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $\delta(M)$ is $\omega^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ closed in $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proposition

For every complete metric space M , $\delta(M)$ is weakly closed.

Question

It is known that for some M , $\mathcal{F}(M) = X^*$ for some X . In that case, does $\delta(M)$ is $\omega^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ closed?

Definition

Let M be a bounded metric space. We say that a Banach space X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ if $X^* = \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $\delta(M)$ is $\omega^* = \sigma(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ closed in $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Example (Trivial)

If M is a compact metric space, then every predual (if it exists) is natural.

Proposition (Kalton)

Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.

Proposition (Kalton)

*Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.
Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.*

Proposition (Kalton)

*Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.
Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.
Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.*

Proposition (Kalton)

Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.

Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.

Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.

Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proposition (Kalton)

Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.

Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.

Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.

Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proof (GPPR).

① X is closed,

Proposition (Kalton)

*Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.
Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.
Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.
Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.*

Proof (GPPR).

- i) X is closed,
- ii) X separates the points of X ,

Proposition (Kalton)

*Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.
Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.
Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.
Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.*

Proof (GPPR).

- i) X is closed,
- ii) X separates the points of X ,
- iii) X is composed of norm-attaining functionals.

Proposition (Kalton)

Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.
 Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.
 Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap C_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.
 Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proof (GPPR).

- i) X is closed,
- ii) X separates the points of X ,
- iii) X is composed of norm-attaining functionals.

Petunin-Pflichko theorem : $\implies \mathcal{F}(M) = X^*$.

Proposition (Kalton)

Let M be a separable bounded pointed metric space.

Let τ be a topology on M so that (M, τ) is compact.

Assume that $X = \text{lip}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}_\tau(M)$ 1-S.P.U.

Then X is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proof (GPPR).

- i) X is closed,
- ii) X separates the points of X ,
- iii) X is composed of norm-attaining functionals.

Petunin-Pflichko theorem : $\implies \mathcal{F}(M) = X^*$.

Now it is easy to check that τ and ω^* coincides on $\delta(M)$. So $\delta(M)$ is indeed ω^* closed. □

Examples

- 1 There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is isometric to a dual but does not admit any natural predual.

Examples

- 1 There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is isometric to a dual but does not admit any natural predual.
- 2 There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ admits both a natural predual and a non natural predual any natural predual.

- 1 Lipschitz free spaces
- 2 Natural preduals
- 3 Application to the Extremal Structure**
- 4 Uniformly discrete and bounded case

We denote $V := \{m_{xy} = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \in M\} \subset S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$.

We denote $V := \{m_{xy} = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \in M\} \subset S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$.

Questions

1) $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$?

We denote $V := \{m_{xy} = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \in M\} \subset S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$.

Questions

- 1) $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$?
- 2) $[x, y] = \{x, y\} \implies m_{xy} \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$

We denote $V := \{m_{xy} = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \in M\} \subset S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$.

Questions

- 1) $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$?
- 2) $[x, y] = \{x, y\} \implies m_{xy} \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$

Weaver (1999) : $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)**}) \cap \mathcal{F}(M) \subset V$.

We denote $V := \{m_{xy} = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \in M\} \subset S_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$.

Questions

- 1) $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$?
- 2) $[x, y] = \{x, y\} \implies m_{xy} \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$

Weaver (1999) : $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)**}) \cap \mathcal{F}(M) \subset V$.

Aliaga and Guirao (2017) : Yes to 2) when M is compact, and yes to 1) when M is compact and $\text{lip}_0(M)$ 1-S.P.U.

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V).$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

$$\delta(y_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(y)$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

$$\delta(y_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(y)$$

$$d(x_n, y_n) \longrightarrow C \geq 0.$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

$$\delta(y_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(y)$$

$$d(x_n, y_n) \longrightarrow C \geq 0.$$

$$X \subset \text{lip}_0(M) \text{ 1-S.P.U} \implies C > 0.$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

$$\delta(y_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(y)$$

$$d(x_n, y_n) \longrightarrow C \geq 0.$$

$$X \subset \text{lip}_0(M) \text{ 1-S.P.U} \implies C > 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } \gamma = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{C}.$$

Theorem (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then $\text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset V$.

Proof.

$B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\omega^*}(V)$. Milman theorem $\implies \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \subset \overline{V}^{\omega^*}$.

Take $\gamma \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$, and consider $m_{x_n y_n} \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \gamma$.

Passing to subsequences, we may assume that (natural condition) :

$$\delta(x_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(x)$$

$$\delta(y_n) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \delta(y)$$

$$d(x_n, y_n) \longrightarrow C \geq 0.$$

$$X \subset \text{lip}_0(M) \text{ 1-S.P.U} \implies C > 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } \gamma = \frac{\delta(x) - \delta(y)}{C}.$$

$$\text{Since } \|\gamma\| = 1, C = d(x, y).$$



Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

① $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Proof.

iii) \implies ii) : Let $x \neq y \in M$ such that $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Proof.

iii) \implies ii) : Let $x \neq y \in M$ such that $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Let $f_{xy}(t) := \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \frac{d(t, y) - d(t, x)}{d((t, y) + d(t, x))}$ (Ivakhno, Kadets, Werner).

Claim : $\|f_{xy}\|_L = 1$ and $\langle f_{xy}, m_{uv} \rangle = 1 \implies u, v \in [x, y]$

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Proof.

iii) \implies ii) : Let $x \neq y \in M$ such that $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Let $f_{xy}(t) := \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \frac{d(t, y) - d(t, x)}{d((t, y) + d(t, x))}$ (Ivakhno, Kadets, Werner).

Claim : $\|f_{xy}\|_L = 1$ and $\langle f_{xy}, m_{uv} \rangle = 1 \implies u, v \in [x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Consider $A = \{\mu \in B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} : \langle f_{xy}, \mu \rangle = 1\}$.

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Proof.

iii) \implies ii) : Let $x \neq y \in M$ such that $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Let $f_{xy}(t) := \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \frac{d(t, y) - d(t, x)}{d((t, y) + d(t, x))}$ (Ivakhno, Kadets, Werner).

Claim : $\|f_{xy}\|_L = 1$ and $\langle f_{xy}, m_{uv} \rangle = 1 \implies u, v \in [x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Consider $A = \{\mu \in B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} : \langle f_{xy}, \mu \rangle = 1\}$.

$\mu \in \text{ext}(A) \implies \mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \implies \mu \in A \cap V \implies \mu = m_{xy}$.

Corollary (GPPR)

Let M be bounded and separable. Assume that $X \subseteq \text{lip}_0(M)$ is a natural predual of $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Then, TFAE

- i) $\mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- ii) $\mu \in \text{exp}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)})$
- iii) $\mu = m_{xy}$ with $x \neq y \in M$ and $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$

Proof.

iii) \implies ii) : Let $x \neq y \in M$ such that $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Let $f_{xy}(t) := \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \frac{d(t, y) - d(t, x)}{d((t, y) + d(t, x))}$ (Ivakhno, Kadets, Werner).

Claim : $\|f_{xy}\|_L = 1$ and $\langle f_{xy}, m_{uv} \rangle = 1 \implies u, v \in [x, y] = \{x, y\}$.

Consider $A = \{\mu \in B_{\mathcal{F}(M)} : \langle f_{xy}, \mu \rangle = 1\}$.

$\mu \in \text{ext}(A) \implies \mu \in \text{ext}(B_{\mathcal{F}(M)}) \implies \mu \in A \cap V \implies \mu = m_{xy}$.

Thus $A = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}(A)) = \{m_{xy}\}$, and so f_{xy} exposes m_{xy} . □

- 1 Lipschitz free spaces
- 2 Natural preduals
- 3 Application to the Extremal Structure
- 4 Uniformly discrete and bounded case**

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$.

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$. Indeed : $\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_i |a_i| \leq \| \sum_i a_i \delta(x_i) \| \leq M \sum_i |a_i|$.

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$. Indeed : $\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_i |a_i| \leq \| \sum_i a_i \delta(x_i) \| \leq M \sum_i |a_i|$.
 $\implies \mathcal{F}(M)$ has the (BAP).

(There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $T: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$ of finite rank so that $\|T\| \leq C$ and $\|T\gamma - \gamma\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\gamma \in K$).

Motivation :

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$. Indeed : $\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_i |a_i| \leq \| \sum_i a_i \delta(x_i) \| \leq M \sum_i |a_i|$.
 $\implies \mathcal{F}(M)$ has the (BAP).

(There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $T: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$ of finite rank so that $\|T\| \leq C$ and $\|T\gamma - \gamma\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\gamma \in K$).

Motivation : Does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) for every unif. discrete bounded and separable metric space ?

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$. Indeed : $\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_i |a_i| \leq \| \sum_i a_i \delta(x_i) \| \leq M \sum_i |a_i|$.
 $\implies \mathcal{F}(M)$ has the (BAP).

(There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $T: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$ of finite rank so that $\|T\| \leq C$ and $\|T\gamma - \gamma\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\gamma \in K$).

Motivation : Does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) for every unif. discrete bounded and separable metric space ?

\rightarrow If yes, then every sep. Banach space is approximable (Kalton).

Example

There is a unif. discrete bounded and sep. metric space M such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not have any predual.

If M be uniformly discrete, bounded and separable, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \ell_1$. Indeed : $\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_i |a_i| \leq \| \sum_i a_i \delta(x_i) \| \leq M \sum_i |a_i|$.
 $\implies \mathcal{F}(M)$ has the (BAP).

(There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator $T: \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$ of finite rank so that $\|T\| \leq C$ and $\|T\gamma - \gamma\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\gamma \in K$).

Motivation : Does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) for every unif. discrete bounded and separable metric space ?

- \rightarrow If yes, then every sep. Banach space is approximable (Kalton).
- \rightarrow If no, there is an equivalent ℓ_1 norm that fails the (MAP).

Thank you very much !

Thank you very much !



Luis García-Lirola, Colin Petitjean, Antonin Procházka, Abraham Rueda Zoca, *Extremal structure and Duality of Lipschitz free spaces*, preprint, Available at :
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.09307>