

THE PETTIS INTEGRAL FOR MULTI-VALUED FUNCTIONS VIA SINGLE-VALUED ONES

B. CASCALES, V. KADETS, AND J. RODRÍGUEZ

ABSTRACT. We study the Pettis integral for multi-functions $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$ defined on a complete probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) with values into the family $cwk(X)$ of all convex weakly compact non-empty subsets of a separable Banach space X . From the notion of Pettis integrability for such an F studied in the literature one readily infers that if we embed $cwk(X)$ into $\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ by means of the mapping $j : cwk(X) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ defined by $j(C)(x^*) = \sup(x^*(C))$, then $j \circ F$ is integrable with respect to a norming subset of $B_{\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})^*}$. A natural question arises: When is $j \circ F$ Pettis integrable? In this paper we answer this question by proving that the Pettis integrability of any $cwk(X)$ -valued function F is equivalent to the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$ if and only if X has the Schur property that is shown to be equivalent to the fact that $cwk(X)$ is separable when endowed with the Hausdorff distance. We complete the paper with some sufficient conditions (involving stability in Talagrand's sense) that ensure the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$ for a given Pettis integrable $cwk(X)$ -valued function F .

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering papers by Aumann [2] and Debreu [5], several notions of integral for multi-valued functions (also called multi-functions) in Banach spaces have been developed. These notions have shown to be useful when modelling some theories in areas like Optimal Control and Mathematical Economics. For a detailed account on this subject we refer the reader to the monographs [4], [16] and the survey [13]. The Pettis integral for multi-functions was first considered by Castaing and Valadier [4, Chapter V, §4] and has been widely studied in recent years, see [1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 14, 18, 19].

Throughout this paper (Ω, Σ, μ) is a complete probability space, X a separable Banach space and $cwk(X)$ the family of all convex weakly compact non-empty subsets of X . Given $C \in cwk(X)$ and $x^* \in X^*$ we write

$$\delta^*(x^*, C) := \sup\{x^*(x) : x \in C\}.$$

A multi-function $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$ is said to be *Pettis integrable* if

- (i) For each $x^* \in X^*$, the function $\delta^*(x^*, F) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\delta^*(x^*, F)(\omega) = \delta^*(x^*, F(\omega))$$

is μ -integrable.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28B05, 28B20, 46G10.

Key words and phrases. Pettis integral, multi-functions, Schur property, Hausdorff distance, stable families of measurable functions.

B. Cascales and J. Rodríguez supported by Spanish grants MTM2005-08379 (MEC) and 00690/PI/04 (Fundación Séneca). V. Kadets supported by Grant no. 02122/IV2/05 (Fundación Séneca). J. Rodríguez also supported by a FPU grant AP2002-3767 MEC (Spain).

(ii) For each $A \in \Sigma$, there is $\int_A F d\mu \in cwk(X)$ such that

$$\delta^*(x^*, \int_A F d\mu) = \int_A \delta^*(x^*, F) d\mu \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

It is worth mentioning that the measurability of $\delta^*(x^*, F)$ for every $x^* \in X^*$ implies that such an F is Effros measurable, cf. [4, Theorem III.37], and therefore F admits strongly measurable selectors, cf. [4, Theorem III.6]. The following characterization shows the role played by these selectors in the multi-valued Pettis integral theory. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is essentially due to Castaing and Valadier [4, Chapter V, §4], whereas the other ones have been recently proved by El Amri and Hess [10] and Ziat [18] (see [19] for a corrected proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii)).

Theorem A. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space, X a separable Banach space and $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$ a multi-function. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F is Pettis integrable.
- (ii) The family $W_F = \{\delta^*(x^*, F) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$ is uniformly integrable.
- (iii) The family W_F is made up of measurable functions and any strongly measurable selector of F is Pettis integrable.

In this case, for each $A \in \Sigma$ the Pettis integral $\int_A F d\mu$ coincides with the set of integrals over A of all Pettis integrable selectors of F .

Recall that $cwk(X)$, equipped with the Hausdorff metric h , is a complete metric space that can be isometrically embedded into the Banach space $\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ by means of the mapping

$$j : cwk(X) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*}), \quad j(C)(x^*) := \delta^*(x^*, C),$$

see e.g. [4, Chapter II]. Thus any $cwk(X)$ -valued function F can be looked at as a single-valued function $j \circ F$ taking values in $\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$. Some stronger notions of integral for a multi-function $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$, like the Debreu and Birkhoff integrals, see respectively [5] and [3], can be characterized in terms of the integrability properties of the composition $j \circ F : \Omega \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$. To the best of our knowledge it has been [3] the first paper where the relationship between the Pettis integrability of F and the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$ has been studied, namely the first and third named authors proved in [3, Proposition 3.5] the following results:

- (i) if $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable then F is Pettis integrable and $j(\int_A F d\mu)$ is the Pettis integral of $j \circ F$ over A for every $A \in \Sigma$;
- (ii) the equivalence between F being Pettis integrable and that of $j \circ F$ holds true whenever F has essentially h -separable range (that is, there is $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(\Omega \setminus E) = 0$ such that $F(E)$ is h -separable).

Since the separability of X implies the separability for the Hausdorff distance h of the family $ck(X)$ of all convex *norm* compact non-empty subsets of X , cf. [4, Theorem II.8], statement (ii) implies, in particular, that when $F(\Omega) \subset ck(X)$ then F is Pettis integrable if and only if $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable too. We note that this last equivalence has been recently rediscovered in [7, Lemma 1].

With this paper we aim a double target: (a) to characterize those Banach spaces for which the Pettis integrability of any $cwk(X)$ -valued function F is equivalent to the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$; (b) to provide sufficient conditions ensuring the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$ for a standing alone Pettis integrable multi-function F .

Being more precise, in Section 2 we show that, in general, $j \circ F$ might be non Pettis integrable when F is. In fact, we prove that X has the *Schur property* (i.e. weakly convergent sequences are norm convergent) if and only if $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable for every $ck(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable F , the latter also being equivalent to the fact that $(ck(X), h)$ is separable, see Theorem 2.1. Our proof of Theorem 2.1 easily yields that a convex weakly compact set $K \subset X$ is norm compact if and only if $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable for every Pettis integrable multi-function F taking values in $\{C \in ck(X) : C \subset K\}$, see Proposition 2.3.

In Section 3 we exhibit some sufficient conditions for a Pettis integrable multi-function $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ that ensure the Pettis integrability of $j \circ F$, beyond the essential h -separability of the range of F . Theorem 3.3 shows that $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable when every countable subset of $W_F = \{\delta^*(x^*, F) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$ is stable in Talagrand's sense, see Section 3 for the definition. In particular, under Martin's Axiom and dealing with a perfect probability μ , $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable whenever it is scalarly measurable, see Corollary 3.6.

A bit of terminology: our unexplained terminology can be found in our standard references for multi-valued functions [4, 16] and for vector integration [9, 17]. All vector spaces here are assumed to be real. Given a subset S of a vector space, we write $\text{co}(S)$, $\text{aco}(S)$ and $\text{span}(S)$ to denote, respectively, the convex, absolutely convex and linear hull of S . Let Y be a Banach space. As usual, B_Y is the closed unit ball of Y and Y^* stands for the topological dual of Y . Given $y^* \in Y^*$ and $y \in Y$, we write $\langle y^*, y \rangle$ and $y^*(y)$ to denote the evaluation of y^* at y . A set $B \subset B_{Y^*}$ is said to be *norming* if $\|y\| = \sup\{|y^*(y)| : y^* \in B\}$ for every $y \in Y$. For the complete probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) , a family \mathcal{H} of real-valued μ -integrable functions defined on Ω is said to be *uniformly integrable* if it is bounded for $\|\cdot\|_1$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_E |h| d\mu \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\mu(E) \leq \delta$. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *scalarly measurable* if the composition $\langle y^*, f \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $\langle y^*, f \rangle(\omega) = \langle y^*, f(\omega) \rangle$, is measurable for every $y^* \in Y^*$. Recall that f is said to be *Pettis integrable* if

- (i) $\langle y^*, f \rangle$ is μ -integrable for every $y^* \in Y^*$.
- (ii) For each $A \in \Sigma$, there is $\nu_f(A) \in Y$ such that

$$\langle y^*, \nu_f(A) \rangle = \int_A \langle y^*, f \rangle d\mu \quad \text{for every } y^* \in Y^*.$$

In this case, the mapping $\nu_f : \Sigma \rightarrow Y$ is a countably additive measure. We note that a single-valued function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is Pettis integrable if, and only if, the set-valued function $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ given by $F(\omega) = \{f(\omega)\}$ is Pettis integrable.

2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SCHUR PROPERTY

If the Banach space X has the Schur property, the Eberlein-Smulyan theorem [8, p. 18] implies that every weakly compact set in X is norm compact, hence $ck(X) = ck(X)$. Thus if X is separable and has the Schur property we know that $(ck(X), h) = (ck(X), h)$ is separable, see [4, Theorem II.8], and consequently if $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ is Pettis integrable then $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable by [3, Proposition 3.5]. In this section we prove that the converse also holds, that is, the Schur property of X is characterized by the fact that $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable for each Pettis integrable $ck(X)$ -valued function F .

Theorem 2.1. *For a separable Banach space X the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has the Schur property.
- (ii) $(cwk(X), h)$ is separable.
- (iii) For any complete probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and any Pettis integrable multi-function $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$ the composition $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.
- (iv) For any Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ the composition $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.
- (v) For any h -bounded Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ the composition $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.

Proof. The comments just before the theorem thoroughly explain the implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) and (iv) \Rightarrow (v) are obvious.

To finish we prove the implication (v) \Rightarrow (i) by contradiction: we will show that if X fails the Schur property, then there is an h -bounded Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ such that $j \circ F$ is not scalarly measurable (hence not Pettis integrable). Recall (cf. [11, 254K]) that $[0, 1]$, equipped with the Lebesgue measure on the σ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable sets, is measure space isomorphic to the complete probability space $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \mu)$ obtained as the completion of the usual product probability measure on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Therefore, for our purposes it suffices to work with $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \mu)$. We write $\pi_n : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to denote the n -th coordinate projection.

Suppose that X fails the Schur property. Then there is a weakly null sequence (x_n) in X such that $\|x_n\| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to the Bessaga-Pelczynski selection principle (cf. [8, p. 42]), by passing to a further subsequence we can and do assume that (x_n) is a basic sequence, i.e. it is a Schauder basis of $Y := \overline{\text{span}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. Since the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is weakly relatively compact, the Krein-Smulyan theorem (cf. [9, Theorem 11, p. 51]) allows us to define the h -bounded multi-function $F : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ by

$$F(\omega) := \overline{\text{co}\{\pi_n(\omega)x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$$

According to Theorem A, in order to prove that F is Pettis integrable it suffices to show that the family W_F is uniformly integrable. An easy computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(x^*, F)(\omega) &= \delta^*(x^*, F(\omega)) = \\ (1) \quad &= \sup \left\{ x^*(x) : x \in \overline{\text{co}\{\pi_n(\omega)x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \right\} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_n(\omega) x^*(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Observe that since each π_n is measurable $\delta^*(x^*, F)$ is measurable too. On the other hand, we have $|\delta^*(x^*, F)(\omega)| \leq 1$ for any $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. Therefore W_F is uniformly bounded and made up of measurable functions, hence uniformly integrable: Theorem A applies now to tell us that F is Pettis integrable.

We claim now that the composition $j \circ F : \Omega \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ is not scalarly measurable. Indeed, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take $y_n^* \in Y^*$ the coefficient functional given by $y_n^* : \sum_m a_m x_m \rightarrow a_n$. Since (x_n) is a normalized Schauder basis of Y , there is $M > 0$ such that $\|y_n^*\| \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ use the Hahn-Banach theorem and fix $x_n^* \in X^*$ such that $y_n^* = x_n^*|_Y$ and $\|y_n^*\| = \|x_n^*\|$. Define $z_n^* := x_n^*/M \in B_{X^*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As usual, we identify $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ (the power set of \mathbb{N}) by means of the bijection $\psi : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ given by

$\psi(\omega) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_n(\omega) = 1\}$. Fix a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. It is known that $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not measurable (cf. [17, 13-1-1]). Define $\xi \in \ell_\infty(B_{X^*})^*$ by

$$\xi(h) := \mathcal{U} - \lim_m h(z_m^*), \quad \text{for } h \in \ell_\infty(B_{X^*}),$$

where the symbol “ $\mathcal{U} - \lim_m$ ” stands for the limit along the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . Then the composition $\langle \xi, j \circ F \rangle$ is not measurable, because for each $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the equality (1) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, j \circ F \rangle(\omega) &= \mathcal{U} - \lim_m \delta^*(z_m^*, F)(\omega) = \mathcal{U} - \lim_m \frac{\pi_m(\omega)}{M} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M} & \text{if } \omega \in \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}); \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $j \circ F$ is not scalarly measurable, as claimed and the proof of the theorem is over. \square

Remark 2.2. We notice that in fact the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that conditions (i)–(v) are equivalent to the following one:

- (vi) *For any h -bounded Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ the composition $j \circ F$ is scalarly measurable.*

In Corollary 3.6 we will see that, at least under Martin’s Axiom, given a Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$, the scalar measurability of $j \circ F$ is sufficient to ensure that $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.

Similar ideas to those in the proof of Theorem 2.1 allow us to prove:

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a separable Banach space and $K \in cwk(X)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *K is norm compact.*
- (ii) *The family $cwk(K) := \{C \in cwk(X) : C \subset K\}$ is h -separable.*
- (iii) *For any complete probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and any Pettis integrable multi-function $F : \Omega \rightarrow cwk(X)$ such that $F(\Omega) \subset cwk(K)$, the composition $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.*
- (iv) *For any Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ such that $F(\Omega) \subset cwk(K)$, the composition $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.*
- (v) *For any Pettis integrable multi-function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$ such that $F(\Omega) \subset cwk(K)$, the composition $j \circ F$ is scalarly measurable.*

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is as follows: the norm compactness of K says that $cwk(K) \subset ck(X)$. Since $ck(X)$ is h -separable, the same holds for $cwk(K)$.

Implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) again follows from [3, Proposition 3.5].

The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) are immediate.

To finish we prove that (v) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that K is not norm compact. We shall construct a Pettis integrable multi-function $F : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ such that $F(\Omega) \subset cwk(K)$ and $j \circ F$ is not scalarly measurable. Since K is weakly but not norm compact, there is a sequence (y_n) in K converging weakly to some $y \in K$ such that $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_n - y\| > 0$. Define $x_n := y_n - y \in X$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (x_n) is weakly null and, by the Bessaga-Pelczynski selection principle (cf. [8, p. 42]), we can assume further that (x_n) is a basic sequence. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the multi-function

$$G : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow cwk(X), \quad G(\omega) := \overline{\text{co}\{\pi_n(\omega)x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}},$$

is Pettis integrable but $j \circ G$ is not scalarly measurable. Now it is not difficult to check that the multi-function $F : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ defined by $F(\omega) := G(\omega) + y$ satisfies the required properties. \square

3. STABILITY AND THE PETTIS INTEGRAL FOR MULTI-FUNCTIONS

We begin this section by recalling the notion of stable family of real-valued functions and its relationship with the Pettis integral theory. For detailed information on this subject we refer the reader to [17] and [12, Chapter 46].

A family $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\Omega} - (\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ complete probability space – is said to be *stable* (in Talagrand's sense) if, for each $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ and each pair of real numbers $\alpha < \beta$, there are $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mu_{k+l}^*(D_{k,l}(\mathcal{H}, A, \alpha, \beta)) < \mu(A)^{k+l},$$

where μ_{k+l} denotes the product of $k + l$ copies of μ and

$$D_{k,l}(\mathcal{H}, A, \alpha, \beta) := \bigcup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{(\omega_i)_{i=1}^{k+l} \in A^{k+l} : h(\omega_i) < \alpha \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ h(\omega_i) > \beta \text{ for all } k+1 \leq i \leq k+l\}.$$

If \mathcal{H} is stable then it is made up of measurable functions and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathfrak{T}_p(\Omega)}$ is also stable, where $\mathfrak{T}_p(\Omega)$ stands for the pointwise convergence topology (i.e. the product topology) on \mathbb{R}^{Ω} , [17, Section 9-1].

Stability and Pettis integration are related as follows. Given a Banach space Y , a norming set $B \subset B_{Y^*}$ and a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$, we can consider the family

$$Z_{f,B} = \{\langle y^*, f \rangle : y^* \in B\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\Omega}.$$

Notice that in the particular case when $B = B_{Y^*}$ the set $Z_f := Z_{f,B_{Y^*}}$ is $\mathfrak{T}_p(\Omega)$ -compact after Alaoglu's theorem. A well known result of Talagrand states that f is Pettis integrable provided that it is *properly measurable*, i.e. Z_f is stable, and Z_f is uniformly integrable, [17, Theorem 6-1-2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space and Y a Banach space. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be a function such that:*

- (i) *There is a countable partition (A_n) of Ω in Σ such that the restriction $f|_{A_n}$ is Pettis integrable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (ii) *There is a norming set $B \subset B_{Y^*}$ such that the family $Z_{f,B}$ is uniformly integrable.*

Then f is Pettis integrable.

Proof. We begin by proving that for each $E \in \Sigma$ the series $\sum_n \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n)$ is unconditionally convergent. Indeed, observe that for every finite set $Q \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in Q} \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n) \right\| = \\ = \sup_{y^* \in B} \left| \sum_{n \in Q} \langle y^*, \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n) \rangle \right| = \sup_{y^* \in B} \left| \int_{E \cap (\bigcup_{n \in Q} A_n)} \langle y^*, f \rangle d\mu \right|.$$

The unconditional convergence of $\sum_n \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n)$ now follows from the uniform integrability of the family $Z_{f,B}$.

We next show that f is Pettis integrable and that for every $E \in \Sigma$ we have $\nu_f(E) = \sum_n \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n)$. To this end, observe first that f is scalarly measurable. We check now that f is scalarly integrable (i.e. Dunford integrable). For fixed $y_0^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k} |\langle y_0^*, f \rangle| d\mu \right) \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k} \left| \int_E \langle y_0^*, f \rangle d\mu \right| = \sup_{E \in \Sigma} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{E \cap A_k} \langle y_0^*, f \rangle d\mu \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{E \in \Sigma} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \nu_{f|_{A_k}}(E \cap A_k) \right\| = \sup_{E \in \Sigma} \sup_{y^* \in B} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{E \cap A_k} \langle y^*, f \rangle d\mu \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{y^* \in B} \sup_{E \in \Sigma} \int_E |\langle y^*, f \rangle| d\mu \leq \sup_{y^* \in B} \int_{\Omega} |\langle y^*, f \rangle| d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is scalarly integrable. Finally, notice that

$$\int_E \langle y^*, f \rangle d\mu = \sum_n \langle y^*, \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n) \rangle = \langle y^*, \sum_n \nu_{f|_{A_n}}(E \cap A_n) \rangle$$

for every $y^* \in Y^*$ and every $E \in \Sigma$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space and Y a Banach space. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be a function such that:*

- (i) *There is a countable partition (A_n) of Ω in Σ such that the restriction $f|_{A_n}$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (ii) *There is a norming set $B \subset B_{Y^*}$ such that the family $Z_{f,B}$ is uniformly integrable and stable.*

Then f is Pettis integrable and properly measurable.

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the family $Z_{f|_{A_n}, B}$ is uniformly bounded and stable, a result of Talagrand [17, Theorem 11-2-1] (cf. [12, 465N]) ensures that $\text{aco}(Z_{f|_{A_n}, B})$ is also stable and, therefore, the same holds for $\overline{\text{aco}(Z_{f|_{A_n}, B})}^{\tau_p(\Omega)} = \overline{Z_{f|_{A_n}, \text{aco}(B)}}^{\tau_p(\Omega)}$. On the other hand, bearing in mind that B is norming, the Hahn-Banach theorem yields the equality $B_{Y^*} = \overline{\text{aco}(B)}^{w^*}$, hence $\overline{Z_{f|_{A_n}, \text{aco}(B)}}^{\tau_p(\Omega)} = Z_{f|_{A_n}}$. Since $Z_{f|_{A_n}}$ is stable and $f|_{A_n}$ is bounded, we can apply [17, Theorem 6-1-2] to conclude that $f|_{A_n}$ is Pettis integrable.

The Pettis integrability of f now follows from Lemma 3.1. Finally, bearing in mind that $\Omega = \bigcup_n A_n$ and that $Z_{f|_{A_n}}$ is stable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to check that Z_f is stable, as required. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, Theorem 3.3 below. We first need to introduce some terminology. Given a Banach space X , we write τ_M to denote the Mackey topology on X^* , that is, the topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact subsets of X . Recall that, by the Mackey-Arens theorem, τ_M is the finest locally convex topology on X^* whose topological dual is X , hence $\overline{C}^{w^*} = \overline{C}^{\tau_M}$ for every convex set $C \subset X^*$ (cf. [15, Chapter 8]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space and X a separable Banach space. Let $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{cwk}(X)$ be a Pettis integrable multi-function such*

that every countable subset of W_F is stable. Then $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable and properly measurable.

Proof. Notice first that $j : \text{cwk}(X) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ actually takes its values in the Banach space $Y := C_b(B_{X^*}, \tau_M)$ of all real-valued, bounded and τ_M -continuous functions on B_{X^*} , equipped with the supremum norm. Since X is separable, B_{X^*} contains a countable w^* -dense subset C . In view of the comments just before the theorem, we can assume without loss of generality that C is even τ_M -dense. For each $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, define $e_{x^*} \in B_{\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})^*}$ by $\langle e_{x^*}, h \rangle := h(x^*)$. Then the set

$$B := \{e_{x^*}|_Y : x^* \in C\} \subset B_{Y^*}$$

is norming and $\|(j \circ F)(\omega)\|_\infty = \sup_{x^* \in C} |\delta^*(x^*, F)(\omega)|$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Since the family W_F is made up of measurable functions, we conclude that the mapping $\omega \mapsto \|(j \circ F)(\omega)\|_\infty$ is measurable. In particular, there is a countable partition (A_n) of Ω in Σ such that the restriction $j \circ F|_{A_n}$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the family

$$Z_{j \circ F, B} = \{\delta^*(x^*, F) : x^* \in C\}$$

is uniformly integrable after Theorem A and stable since C is countable. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable and properly measurable. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Remark 3.4. Our Theorem 3.3 generalizes the fact that $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable for any Pettis integrable multi-function F with essentially h -separable range, [3, Proposition 3.5]. Indeed, for such an F the single-valued function $j \circ F$ has essentially separable range and $\langle e_{x^*}, j \circ F \rangle$ is measurable for every $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. Since $\{e_{x^*} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\} \subset B_{\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})^*}$ is norming, an appeal to Pettis' measurability theorem (cf. [9, Corollary 4, p. 42]) ensures that $j \circ F$ is strongly measurable. It follows that $j \circ F$ is properly measurable (this can be deduced easily from [9, Corollary 3, p. 42]) and, in particular, the family W_F is stable.

Remark 3.5. We also mention that there are Pettis integrable multi-functions F for which the family W_F is stable but the range of F is not essentially h -separable, see [3, Example 3.10].

Recall that the complete probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) is said to be perfect (cf. [17, 1-3-1]) if for every measurable function $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and every $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $f^{-1}(E) \in \Sigma$, there is a Borel set $B \subset E$ such that $\mu(f^{-1}(B)) = \mu(f^{-1}(E))$. For instance, every Radon topological probability space is perfect (cf. [17, 1-3-2]). Perfect probability spaces play a relevant role in the study of pointwise compact sets of measurable functions, see [17]. Under Martin's Axiom (or even weaker axioms), every pointwise compact separable family of real-valued measurable functions defined on a perfect complete probability space is stable, see [17, Section 9-3]. As a consequence we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.6 (Martin's Axiom). *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a perfect complete probability space and X a separable Banach space. Let $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{cwk}(X)$ be a multi-function. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F is Pettis integrable and $j \circ F$ is scalarly measurable.
- (ii) $j \circ F$ is Pettis integrable.

Proof. We only have to give a proof for the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Notice that, for each countable set $C \subset B_{X^*}$, the family

$$\overline{\{\delta^*(x^*, F) : x^* \in C\}}^{\mathfrak{T}_p(\Omega)} \subset Z_{j \circ F}$$

is $\mathfrak{T}_p(\Omega)$ -compact separable and is made up of measurable functions, therefore it is stable. The result now follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Amrani, *Lemme de Fatou pour l'intégrale de Pettis*, Publ. Mat. **42** (1998), no. 1, 67–79. MR 1628138 (99h:28027)
- [2] R. J. Aumann, *Integrals of set-valued functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **12** (1965), 1–12. MR 0185073 (32 #2543)
- [3] B. Cascales and J. Rodríguez, *Birkhoff integral for multi-valued functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **297** (2004), no. 2, 540–560, Special issue dedicated to John Horváth. MR 2088679 (2005f:26021)
- [4] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 580. MR 0467310 (57 #7169)
- [5] G. Debreu, *Integration of correspondences*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part I, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967, pp. 351–372. MR 0228252 (37 #3835)
- [6] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, *Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral*, Set-Valued Anal. **13** (2005), no. 2, 167–179. MR 2148134 (2006a:28013)
- [7] ———, *A decomposition theorem for the compact-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral*, Monatsh. Math. **148** (2006), no. 2, 119–126.
- [8] J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR 737004 (85i:46020)
- [9] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977, With a foreword by B. J. Pettis, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. MR 0453964 (56 #12216)
- [10] K. El Amri and C. Hess, *On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions*, Set-Valued Anal. **8** (2000), no. 4, 329–360. MR 1802239 (2002e:26025)
- [11] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory. Volume 2: Broad Foundations*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2001.
- [12] ———, *Measure Theory. Volume 4: Topological Measure Spaces*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2003.
- [13] C. Hess, *Set-valued integration and set-valued probability theory: an overview*, Handbook of measure theory, Vol. I, II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 617–673. MR 1954624 (2004g:60020)
- [14] C. Hess and H. Ziat, *Théorème de Komlós pour des multifonctions intégrables au sens de Pettis et applications*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **26** (2002), no. 2, 181–198. MR 1980843 (2004i:28023)
- [15] H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981, Mathematische Leitfäden. [Mathematical Textbooks]. MR 632257 (83h:46008)
- [16] E. Klein and A. C. Thompson, *Theory of correspondences*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1984, Including applications to mathematical economics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 752692 (86a:90012)
- [17] M. Talagrand, *Pettis integral and measure theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1984), no. 307, ix+224. MR 756174 (86j:46042)
- [18] H. Ziat, *Convergence theorems for Pettis integrable multifunctions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **45** (1997), no. 2, 123–137. MR 1466838 (98i:46035)
- [19] ———, *On a characterization of Pettis integrable multifunctions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **48** (2000), no. 3, 227–230. MR 1779005 (2001d:46063)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO, MURCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: beca@um.es

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, KHARKOV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, PL. SVOBODY 4, 61077 KHARKOV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: vova1kadets@yahoo.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO, MURCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: joserr@um.es