

COUNTABLE PRODUCTS OF SPACES OF FINITE SETS

ANTONIO AVILÉS

ABSTRACT. We consider the compact spaces $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ of subsets of Γ of cardinality at most n and their countable products. We give a complete classification of their Banach spaces of continuous functions and a partial topological classification.

For an infinite set Γ and a natural number n , we consider the space

$$\sigma_n(\Gamma) = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^\Gamma : |\text{supp}(x)| \leq n\}.$$

Here $\text{supp}(x) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0\}$. This is a closed, hence compact subset of $\{0, 1\}^\Gamma$, which is identified with the family of all subsets of Γ of cardinality at most n . In this work we will study the spaces which are countable products of spaces $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$, mainly their topological classification as well as the classification of their Banach spaces of continuous functions.

Let T be the set of all sequences $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $0 \leq \tau_n \leq \omega$. When τ runs over T , $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma) = \prod_1^\infty \sigma_{\tau_n}(\Gamma)^{\tau_n}$ runs over all finite and countable products of spaces $\sigma_k(\Gamma)$. For $\tau \in T$ we will call $j(\tau)$ to the supremum of all n with $\tau_n > 0$ and $i(\tau)$ to the supremum of all n with $\tau_n = \omega$. If $\tau_n < \omega$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $i(\tau) = 0$. Always $0 \leq i(\tau) \leq j(\tau) \leq \omega$. Theorem 1 below summarizes our knowledge about the topological classification and its proof consists of a number of lemmas along Section 2.

Theorem 1. *Let $\tau, \tau' \in T$ and Γ an uncountable set.*

- (1) *Suppose $j(\tau) < \omega$. In this case, $\sigma_{\tau'}(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ if and only if $i(\tau) = i(\tau')$ and $\tau_n = \tau'_n$ for all $n > i(\tau)$.*
- (2) *Suppose $i(\tau) = \omega$. In this case, if $i(\tau') = \omega$, then $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{\tau'}(\Gamma)$.*

This is not a complete classification and leaves the following question open:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B50, 46B26, 54B10, 54D30.

Key words and phrases. Uniform Eberlein compact, regular averaging operator, countable product.

This research was partially supported by the grant BFM2002-01719 of MCyT (Spain) and a FPU grant of MEC (Spain).

Problem 1. Let Γ be an uncountable set and $\tau, \tau' \in T$ such that $j(\tau') = j(\tau) = \omega$, $i(\tau) < \omega$ and there exists some $n \geq i(\tau)$ with $\tau_n \neq \tau'_n$. Is $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ homeomorphic to $\sigma_{\tau'}(\Gamma)$?

For example, one particular instance of the problem is whether $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i=2}^{\infty} \sigma_i(\Gamma)$.

About the spaces of continuous functions, it has been recently proved by Marciszewski [6] that a Banach space $C(K)$ is isomorphic to $c_0(\Gamma)$ if and only if $K \subset \sigma_n(\Gamma)$ for some $n < \omega$. This is the case of any compact of the form $K = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k_i}(\Gamma)$ which can be embedded into $\sigma_{\sum k_i}(\bigcup_1^n \Gamma \times \{i\})$ by $x \mapsto \bigcup_1^n x_i \times \{i\}$. Hence, it is a consequence of Marciszewski's result that the Banach spaces of continuous functions over finite products of spaces $\sigma_k(\Gamma)$ over a fixed Γ are all isomorphic. In Section 1 we prove a similar result for countable products:

Theorem 2. *Let Γ be an infinite set and (k_n) be any sequence of positive integers. Then the Banach spaces $C(\prod_{n < \omega} \sigma_{k_n}(\Gamma))$ and $C(\sigma_1(\Gamma)^\omega)$ are isomorphic.*

The techniques that we will use are based on the use of regular averaging operators and the so called Pelczyński's decomposition method, developed in [8] and [9] in order to achieve Miljutin's result that the spaces of continuous functions over uncountable metrizable compacta are all isomorphic.

Definition 3. Let $\phi : L \rightarrow K$ be a continuous surjection between compact spaces. A regular averaging operator for ϕ is a bounded positive linear operator $T : C(L) \rightarrow C(K)$ with $T(1_L) = 1_K$ and $T(x \circ \phi) = x$ for all $x \in C(K)$.

The countable products of spaces of the form $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ are uniform Eberlein compact spaces, cf. [3]. This class consists of the weakly compact subsets of the Hilbert spaces, or equivalently of the compact subsets of the space

$$B(\Gamma) = \{x \in [-1, 1]^\Gamma : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x_\gamma| \leq 1\} \sim (B_{\ell_2(\Gamma)}, w)$$

for some set Γ . Indeed, $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $B(\Gamma) \cap \{0, \frac{1}{n}\}^\Gamma$. We establish the following result:

Theorem 4. *Let K be a uniform Eberlein compact of weight κ . Then there is a closed subspace L of $\sigma_1(\kappa)^\mathbb{N}$ and an onto continuous map $f : L \rightarrow K$ which admits a regular averaging operator.*

This improves a result of Argyros and Arvanitakis [1] that for every uniform Eberlein compact space K there is a totally disconnected uniform Eberlein compact space L of the same weight and a continuous surjection

$f : L \longrightarrow K$ which admits a regular averaging operator, and also a result of Benyamini, Rudin and Wage [2] that every uniform Eberlein compact of weight κ is a continuous image of a closed subset of $\sigma_1(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}$. We note that there are many totally disconnected uniform Eberlein compact spaces which cannot be embedded into $\sigma_1(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}$, cf. Lemma 12 below.

NOTATIONS

All topological spaces will be assumed to be completely regular. By identifying elements of $\{0, 1\}^{\Gamma}$ with subsets of Γ , the space $\sigma_n(\Gamma) \subset \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma}$ can be viewed as the family of all subsets of Γ of cardinality less than or equal to n , endowed with the topology which has a base the sets of the form

$$\Phi_F^G = \{y \in \sigma_n(\Gamma) : F \subset y \subset \Gamma \setminus G\}$$

for F and G finite subsets of Γ . We will denote by $p : \sigma_1(\Gamma)^k \longrightarrow \sigma_k(\Gamma)$ the continuous surjection given by $p(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1 \cup \dots \cup x_k$. Note that from the existence of such a function follows the fact that any countable product $\prod_{i < \omega} \sigma_{k_i}(\Gamma)$ is a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^{\omega}$. We will also denote $B^+(\Gamma) = B(\Gamma) \cap [0, 1]^{\Gamma}$.

1. BANACH SPACE CLASSIFICATION

The following Theorem 5 is the key result of this section. A somewhat similar fact can be found in [10], namely that the natural surjection $K^2 \longrightarrow \exp_2(K) = \{\{x, y\} : x, y \in K\}$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto \{x, y\}$ has a regular averaging operator.

Theorem 5. *The map $p : \sigma_1(\Gamma)^k \longrightarrow \sigma_k(\Gamma)$ admits a regular averaging operator.*

Proof: For every $y \in \sigma_k(\Gamma)$ let us denote by $L(y)$ the subset of $p^{-1}(y)$ consisting of all $(x^1, \dots, x^k) \in p^{-1}(y)$ such that $x^i \cap x^j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ (that is, $L(y)$ consists of those tuples of $p^{-1}(y)$ in which no singleton appears twice).

The regular averaging operator $T : C(\sigma_1(\Gamma)^k) \longrightarrow C(\sigma_k(\Gamma))$ is defined as follows:

$$T(f)(y) = \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} f(x)$$

The only difficult point is in proving that $T(f)$ is a continuous function whenever f is continuous. So fix $f \in C(\sigma_1(\Gamma)^k)$ and a point $y \in \sigma_k(\Gamma)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in L(y)$, since f is continuous at x , there is a neighborhood U_x of x in $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^k$ in which $\sup_{x' \in U_x} |f(x) - f(x')| < \varepsilon$. The set U_x must contain a basic neighborhood of x of the form

$$\Phi_{x_1}^{G_1^x} \times \cdots \times \Phi_{x_k}^{G_k^x} \subset U_x$$

where G_i^x is a finite set of Γ disjoint with x_i . We define a neighborhood of y as

$$V = \Phi_y^{\bigcup_{x \in L(y)} \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i^x \setminus y}$$

and we shall see that $|T(f)(y) - T(f)(y')| < \varepsilon$ for every $y' \in V$. So we fix $y' \in V$ (in particular $y \subset y'$). First, we define an onto map $r : L(y') \rightarrow L(y)$ in the following way, if $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in L(y')$ then $r(x) = (r(x)_1, \dots, r(x)_k)$ where $r(x)_i = x_i \cap y$. It is straightforward to check that all the fibers of r have the same cardinality, call $n = |r^{-1}(x)|$, so that $|L(y')| = n|L(y)|$. The key fact (used in the final inequality in the expression below) is that if $x \in L(y)$ and $x' \in r^{-1}(x)$, then $x' \in U_x$. To see this, take $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in L(y)$ and $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_k) \in r^{-1}(x)$. We check that $x'_i \in \Phi_{x_i}^{G_i^x}$. If $x'_i \subset y$ then $x'_i = x_i$. If $x'_i = \{\gamma\} \subset y' \setminus y$ then $x_i = \emptyset$ and since $y' \in V$, $\gamma \notin G_i^x$ and again $x'_i \in \Phi_{x_i}^{G_i^x}$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(f)(y') - T(f)(y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{|L(y')|} \sum_{x' \in L(y')} f(x') - \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} f(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|L(y')|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} f(x') - \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} f(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} f(x') - \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} f(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} f(x') \right) - f(x) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} (f(x') - f(x)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} |f(x') - f(x)| \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{|L(y)|} \sum_{x \in L(y)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x' \in r^{-1}(x)} \varepsilon \right) = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

- Lemma 6.** (a) *Let $g : L \longrightarrow K$ be a continuous surjection between compact spaces which admits a regular averaging operator and let M be a closed subset of K . Then the restriction $g : g^{-1}(M) \longrightarrow M$ also admits a regular averaging operator [1, Proposition 18].*
- (b) *Let $\{g_i : L_i \longrightarrow K_i\}$ be a family of continuous surjections between compact spaces which admit regular averaging operators. Then the product map $\prod g_i : \prod L_i \longrightarrow \prod K_i$ admits a regular averaging operator too [9, Proposition 4.7].*

Proof of Theorem 4: We make the observation that the space $B(\Gamma)$ can be embedded into $B^+(\Gamma \times \{a, b\}) \sim B^+(\Gamma)$ by the map $u(x)_{\gamma, a} = \max(0, x_\gamma)$ and $u(x)_{\gamma, b} = \max(0, -x_\gamma)$. This observation allows to consider our K as a subset of $B^+(\Gamma)$ with $|\Gamma| = \kappa$. Let $\phi : \{0, 1\}^\omega \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\phi(x) = \sum r_i x_i$ where $r_i = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^i$. It is proven in [1] that ϕ admits a regular averaging operator and hence by Lemma 6 also $\phi^\Gamma : \{0, 1\}^{\omega \times \Gamma} \longrightarrow [0, 1]^\Gamma$ and its restriction $\phi^\Gamma : L' = (\phi^\Gamma)^{-1}(K) \longrightarrow K$ admit a regular averaging operator. The space L' is a subspace of $L_0 = (\phi^\Gamma)^{-1}(B^+(\Gamma))$ for which we can give the following description:

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in L_0 &\iff \phi^\Gamma(x) \in B^+(\Gamma) \\
&\iff \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi^\Gamma(x)_\gamma \leq 1 \\
&\iff \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n x_{(\gamma, n)} \leq 1 \\
&\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n N_n(x) \leq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $N_n(x)$ is the cardinality of $\text{supp}(x|_{\Gamma \times \{n\}})$. From this description, if M_n denotes the integer part of r_n^{-1} , then $L' \subset L_0 \subset \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{M_n}(\Gamma)$. From Theorem 5 and part (b) of Lemma 6 follows the existence of a continuous surjection $g : \sigma_1(\Gamma)^\omega \longrightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{M_n}(\Gamma)$ which admits a regular averaging operator. Making use of part (a) of Lemma 6 we get a surjection $g : L = g^{-1}(L') \longrightarrow L'$ with regular averaging operator and the composition $L \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow K$ is the desired map. □

We shall need now the so called Pełczyński's decomposition method, which is used to establish the existence of isomorphisms between Banach spaces. For Banach spaces X and Y we shall write $X|Y$ if there exists a Banach space Z such that $X \oplus Z$ is isomorphic to Y , shortly $X \oplus Z \sim Y$. Also,

$Y = (X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots)_{c_0}$ denotes the c_0 -sum of the Banach spaces X_1, X_2, \dots ,

$$Y = \{y = (x_n) \in \prod X_n : \lim \|x_n\| = 0\}, \quad \|y\| = \sup_n \|x_n\|.$$

Theorem 7 (cf. [9], §8). *Let X and Y be Banach spaces such that $X|Y$, $Y|X$ and $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots)_{c_0} \sim X$, then $X \sim Y$.*

If there exists a surjection $\phi : L \rightarrow K$ with regular averaging operator, then $C(K)|C(L)$, cf. [9]. In particular if $L \subset K$ is a retract of K , since in this case the restriction operator is a regular averaging operator for the retraction. On the other hand, in order to guarantee the last hypothesis in Theorem 7 we shall use the criterion of Lemma 8. For topological spaces K_n , $K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus \cdots$ denotes the discrete topological sum, while $\alpha(S)$ is the one point compactification of a locally compact space S .

Lemma 8. *Let K be a compact space which is homeomorphic to $\alpha(K \oplus K \oplus \cdots)$. Then $(C(K) \oplus C(K) \oplus \cdots)_{c_0} \sim C(K)$.*

Proof: We apply Theorem 7 to $X = (C(K) \oplus C(K) \oplus \cdots)_{c_0}$ and $Y = C(K)$. The only point is in checking that $X|Y$. Let ∞ denote the infinity point of $\alpha(K \oplus K \oplus \cdots) \sim K$. Then $X \sim Y' = \{f \in C(K) : f(\infty) = 0\}$ and $Y \sim Y' \oplus \mathbb{R}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2: Set $K = \sigma_1(\Gamma)^\omega$ and $L = \prod \sigma_{k_n}(\Gamma)$. We apply Theorem 7 to $X = C(K)$ and $Y = C(L)$. First, we already observed that from Theorem 5 and Lemma 6(b) follows the existence of a surjection with regular averaging operator $f : K \rightarrow L$ and hence $C(L)|C(K)$. On the other hand, K is a retract of L because for any k , $\sigma_1(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to a clopen subset of $\sigma_k(\Gamma)$: the family of all subsets which contain fixed elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$. Therefore $C(K)|C(L)$. By Lemma 8, it only remains to show that $\alpha(K \oplus K \oplus \cdots) \sim K$. For this, fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and set for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$K_n = \{x \in K = \sigma_1(\Gamma)^\omega : \gamma \in x_1 \cap \cdots \cap x_{n-1} \setminus x_n\}.$$

The sets K_n are disjoint clopen sets homeomorphic to K and K is the one point compactification of their union with point of infinity $(\{\gamma\}, \{\gamma\}, \dots)$. \square

2. TOPOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Before entering this, we point out why we assume Γ to be uncountable. The reasonings below do not apply in the countable case and the situation is indeed completely different. All perfect totally disconnected metrizable compact spaces are homeomorphic [5, Theorem 7.4] and this implies that all countable products of spaces $\sigma_k(\omega)$ are homeomorphic. The finite products are countable

compacta, whose topological classification is also well known after the classical paper [7]: two of them are homeomorphic if and only if they have same Cantor-Bendixson derivation index and the same cardinality of the last nonempty Cantor-Bendixson derivative. Straightforward computations give that these two invariants for a finite product $\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k_i}(\omega)$ take the values $1 + \sum_1^n k_i$ and 1 respectively. From now on, Γ will be always an uncountable set.

Lemma 9. *If $m < n$ then $\sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$.*

Proof: We denote again by $(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots)$ the discrete topological sum of the spaces X_1, X_2, \dots and by αX the one-point compactification of the locally compact space X . Fix $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \in \Gamma$. We consider the set $L = \omega \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ endowed with the lexicographical order: $(k, i) < (k', i')$ whenever either $k < k'$ or $k = k'$ and $i < i'$. For every $(k, i) \in L$ we define a clopen set of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(k,i)} &= \{x \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega : \gamma_i \notin x_k, \gamma_{i'} \in x_{k'} \forall (k', i') < (k, i)\} \\ &= \{x \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega : \gamma_i \notin x_k \supset \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{i-1}\}, x_j = \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}\} \forall j < k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A_{(k,i)}$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{n-i}(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ and that $\{A_l : l \in L\}$ constitutes a disjoint sequence of clopen subsets of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ with only limit point the sequence $\xi \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ constantly equal to $\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}\}$. Hence,

$$\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \approx \alpha \left(\bigoplus_{l \in L} A_l \right) \approx \alpha \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigoplus_{j < \omega} (\sigma_{n-i}(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega) \right).$$

On the other hand, we can perform a similar decomposition in $\sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ defining, for $j < m$ and $(k, i) \in L$:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_j &= \{(y, x) \in \sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega : \gamma_j \notin y, \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}\} \subset y\} \\ B_{(k,i)} &= \{(y, x) \in \sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega : \gamma_i \notin x_k, \gamma_{i'} \in x_{k'} \forall (k', i') < (k, i), \\ &\quad \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}\} \subset y\} \end{aligned}$$

Again B'_j is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{m-j}(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$, $B_{(k,i)}$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{n-i}(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ and altogether they constitute a disjoint sequence of clopen sets with a single limit point $(\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}\}, \xi)$ out of them, so

$$\sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \approx \alpha \left(\bigoplus_{l \in L} B_l \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} B'_j \right) \approx \alpha \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigoplus_{j < \omega} (\sigma_{n-i}(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega) \right).$$

Lemma 10. *If $m < n < \omega$ then $\sigma_m(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$.*

Proof: $\sigma_m(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \approx (\sigma_m(\Gamma) \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega)^\omega \approx (\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega)^\omega \approx \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$.
□

Lemma 11. *Let $m_1, \dots, m_r < n < \omega$ and $e_1, \dots, e_r \leq \omega$. Then the space $\prod_{i=1}^r \sigma_{m_i}(\Gamma)^{e_i} \times \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$.*

Proof: Follows from repeated application of Lemmas 9 and 10 above. \square

From Lemma 11 it follows that any space $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ with $i(\tau) = \omega$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{(\omega, \omega, \dots)}(\Gamma)$ (because we can substitute each factor $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$ of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ by the homeomorphic $\prod_{i \leq n} \sigma_i(\Gamma)^\omega$) and this proves part (2) of Theorem 1. Lemma 11 also shows that it is irrelevant in determining the homeomorphism class of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ which are the values τ_n for $n < i(\tau)$. Hence, in order to prove part(1) of Theorem 1 it remains to show that if $j(\tau) < \omega$ and $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{\tau'}(\Gamma)$ then $\tau_n = \tau'_n$ for all $n > i(\tau)$.

We recall that a family $\{S_\eta\}_{\eta \in H}$ of sets is a Δ -system if there is a set S (called the root of the Δ -system) such that $S_\eta \cap S_{\eta'} = S$ for all $\eta \neq \eta'$. We will make use of the fact that any uncountable family of finite sets has an uncountable subfamily which is a Δ -system, cf.[4, Theorem 1.4] for $\kappa = \omega$ and $\alpha = \omega_1$.

The following lemma includes as a particular case that $\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)$ does not embed into $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega$. This fact, whose proof corresponds to Steps 1 - 3 below was shown to us by Witold Marciszewski, and it seems that it was known to several people before.

Lemma 12. *If $|\Lambda| > \omega$, $n \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, then the space $\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1}$ does not embed into $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists such an embedding.

Step 1. Passing to a suitable uncountable subset of Λ , we can suppose that there is an embedding

$$\phi : \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1} \longrightarrow \sigma_n(\Gamma)^m \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$$

for some $m < \omega$. To see this, take $\varphi : \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1} \longrightarrow \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$ our original embedding. In this step, we shall denote an element $x \in \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1}$ as $x = (x_0, \dots, x_k)$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ we find a clopen set A_λ^i of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$ which separates the disjoint compact sets $\varphi(\{x : \lambda \in x_i\})$ and $\varphi(\{x : \lambda \notin x_i\})$. Associated to A_λ^i we have a finite subset $F_\lambda^i \subset \omega$ such that $A_\lambda^i = \sigma_n(\Gamma)^{\omega \setminus F_\lambda^i} \times B_\lambda^i$ with B_λ^i a clopen subset of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^{F_\lambda^i} \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$. We choose Λ' to be an uncountable subset of Λ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^k F_\lambda^i = \bigcup_{i=0}^k F_{\lambda'}^i = F$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda'$ and in this case the composition

$$\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda')^{k+1} \hookrightarrow \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1} \longrightarrow \sigma_n(\Gamma)^\omega \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k \longrightarrow \sigma_n(\Gamma)^F \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$$

is one-to-one. The reason is that if $x, y \in \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda')^{k+1}$ are different then there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ such that $\lambda \in x_i$ but $\lambda \notin y_i$ (or vice-versa). Then $\phi(x) \in A_\lambda^i$ and $\phi(y) \notin A_\lambda^i$ so either the coordinate of $\sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k$ or some coordinate of $F_i^\lambda \subset F$ must be different for $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$.

Step 2. For $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define $e_i^\lambda \in \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1}$ to be the element which has $\{\lambda\}$ in coordinate i and \emptyset in all other coordinates. Each $\phi(e_i^\lambda)$ will be of the form

$$\phi(e_i^\lambda) = (x_i^\lambda[1], \dots, x_i^\lambda[m], x_i^\lambda[m+1], \dots, x_i^\lambda[m+k])$$

with $x_i^\lambda[j] \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)$ if $j \leq m$ and $x_i^\lambda[j] \in \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)$ if $m < j \leq m+k$. Passing to a suitable uncountable subset of Λ , we can assume that for every fixed $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m+k\}$ the family $\{x_i^\lambda[j] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a Δ -system of root $R_i[j]$ formed by sets of the same cardinality $c_i[j]$.

Step 3. We claim that for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, the Δ -system $\{x_i^\lambda[j] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is constant. Suppose the contrary for some fixed $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$. Then $x_i^\lambda[j] = R \cup S^\lambda \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)$ where $R \cap S^\lambda = \emptyset$, $S^\lambda \neq \emptyset$, and $S^\lambda \cap S^{\lambda'} = \emptyset$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. We consider the sets

$$A_\lambda = \{y = (y[1], \dots, y[m+k]) \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^m \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k : y[j] \supset S^\lambda\}.$$

The A_λ 's are neighborhoods of the $\phi(e_i^\lambda)$'s with the property that for every $F \subset \Lambda$ with $|F| > n$, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in F} A_\lambda = \emptyset$ (because for y in that intersection, $|y[j]| > n$ and $y[j] \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)$). Let $\psi : \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1}$ be the map defined by $\psi(x)_i = x$ and $\psi(x)_{i'}(x) = \emptyset$ if $i' \neq i$. Then the $(\phi\psi)^{-1}(A_\lambda)$'s are neighborhoods of the $\{\lambda\}$'s in $\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)$ with the property that for every $F \subset \Lambda$ with $|F| > n$, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in F} (\phi\psi)^{-1}(A_\lambda) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction since such a family of neighborhoods cannot be found. Namely, take basic neighborhoods with $\{\lambda\} \in \Phi_{\{\lambda\}}^{G_\lambda} \subset (\phi\psi)^{-1}(A_\lambda)$ and take $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ uncountable with $\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda'\}$ a Δ -system of root R' . Then construct inductively a finite sequence $F = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}\} \subset \Lambda' \setminus R'$ such that $\lambda_p \notin \bigcup_{q < p} G_{\lambda_q}$ and $G_{\lambda_p} \cap \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}\} = \emptyset$ (notice that it is possible to choose such a λ_p because $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}\} \cap R' = \emptyset$ and hence there are only finitely many G_λ 's with $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ and $G_\lambda \cap \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}\} \neq \emptyset$). In this case we have $F \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in F} (\phi\psi)^{-1}(A_\lambda)$.

Step 4. Notice that, in the case when $k = 0$ we already arrived to a contradiction and the proof is complete. When $k > 0$ we need some extra work. From step 3, we deduce that for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ there must exist $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+k\}$ such that the family $\{x_i^\lambda[j] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a nonconstant Δ -system. Since i runs in a set of $k+1$ elements and j in a set of k elements, there must exist two different $i, i' \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that for

the same j , $\{x_i^\lambda[j] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{x_{i'}^\lambda[j] : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are nonconstant Δ -systems. We assume that $c_i[j] \geq c_{i'}[j]$ (these numbers are defined in step 2). Again, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we consider the sets

$$A_\lambda = \{(y[1], \dots, y[m+k]) \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^m \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k : y[j] \supset x_i^\lambda[j]\},$$

$$A'_\lambda = \{(y[1], \dots, y[m+k]) \in \sigma_n(\Gamma)^m \times \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)^k : y[j] \supset x_{i'}^\lambda[j]\}.$$

The A_λ 's and the A'_λ 's are neighborhoods of the $\phi(e_i^\lambda)$'s and the $\phi(e_{i'}^\lambda)$'s respectively with the property that

$$(*) \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \forall F \subset \Lambda \left(|F| > n \wedge x_i^\lambda[j] \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in F} x_{i'}^\mu[j] \right) \Rightarrow A_\lambda \cap \bigcap_{\mu \in F} A'_\mu = \emptyset.$$

That intersection is empty because if y belongs to it, then

$$x_i^\lambda[j] \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in F} x_{i'}^\mu[j] \subset y[j] \in \sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)$$

and the set in the left, if $x_i^\lambda[j] \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in F} x_{i'}^\mu[j]$, has cardinality greater than $n+1$, a contradiction. Since the Δ -systems are not constant and $c_i[j] \geq c_{i'}[j]$, if $x_i^\lambda[j] \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in F} x_{i'}^\mu[j]$ holds, there must be some $\mu \in F$ and some $\gamma \in x_i^\lambda[j]$ such that $\gamma \in x_{i'}^\mu[j] \setminus R_{i'}[j]$. For a fixed λ there are only finitely many μ 's with $(x_{i'}^\mu[j] \setminus R_{i'}[j]) \cap x_i^\lambda[j] \neq \emptyset$. Hence for every λ , we can find a cofinite subset Λ_λ of Λ such that the hypothesis $x_i^\lambda[j] \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mu \in F} x_{i'}^\mu[j]$ of statement (*) holds whenever $F \subset \Lambda_\lambda$. For short, we know that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists a cofinite subset Λ_λ of Λ such that

$$\forall F \subset \Lambda_\lambda \quad |F| > n \Rightarrow A_\lambda \cap \bigcap_{\mu \in F} A'_\mu = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts the following lemma for $B_\lambda = \phi^{-1}(A_\lambda)$ and $B'_\lambda = \phi^{-1}(A'_\lambda)$:

Lemma 13. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let B_λ and B'_λ be neighborhoods of e_i^λ and $e_{i'}^\lambda$ respectively in $\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)^{k+1}$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and an infinite set $S \subset \Lambda$ such that for every $F \subset S$ with $|F| = n+1$,*

$$B_{\lambda_0} \cap \bigcap_{\mu \in F} B'_\mu \neq \emptyset$$

Proof: For a simpler notation, we will assume that $i = 0$ and $i' = 1$. Notice that a basic clopen set Φ_F^G of $\sigma_{n+1}(\Lambda)$ is nonempty if and only if $F \cap G = \emptyset$ and $|F| \leq n+1$. Each B_λ and each B'_μ contain basic clopen sets of the form

$$\Phi_{\{\lambda\}}^{G_0^\lambda} \times \Phi_\emptyset^{G_1^\lambda} \times \Phi_\emptyset^{G_2^\lambda} \times \dots \times \Phi_\emptyset^{G_k^\lambda} \subseteq B_\lambda$$

$$\Phi_\emptyset^{H_0^\mu} \times \Phi_{\{\mu\}}^{H_1^\mu} \times \Phi_\emptyset^{H_2^\mu} \times \dots \times \Phi_\emptyset^{H_k^\mu} \subseteq B'_\mu$$

with all G_i^λ and H_i^μ finite subsets of Λ and $\lambda \notin G_0^\lambda$ and $\mu \notin H_1^\mu$. First, we find $M \subset \Lambda$ a countably infinite set such that $\mu' \notin H_1^\mu$ for every $\mu, \mu' \in M$. This can be done as follows. We begin with an infinite $M_1 \subset \Lambda$ such that the family $\{H_1^\mu : \mu \in M_1\}$ is a Δ -system of root R , and we set $M_2 = M_1 \setminus R$. Then we can find recursively a sequence $(\mu_p)_{p < \omega} \subset M_2$ such that $\mu_p \notin \bigcup_{q < p} H_1^{\mu_q}$ and $H_1^{\mu_p} \cap \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p-1}\} = \emptyset$. After this, we set $M = \{\mu_p : p < \omega\}$. Now, we choose $\lambda_0 \notin \bigcup_{\mu \in M} H_0^\mu$. Taking $S = \{\mu \in M : \mu \notin G_1^{\lambda_0}\}$, then λ_0 and S are as desired. Namely, take $F \subset S$ with $|F| = n + 1$, and for every $j = 0, \dots, k$ call $I_j = G_j^{\lambda_0} \bigcup_{\mu \in F} H_j^\mu$ so that

$$B_{\lambda_0} \cap \bigcap_{\mu \in F} B'_\mu \supset \Phi_{\{\lambda_0\}}^{I_0^\mu} \times \Phi_F^{I_1^\mu} \times \prod_{j=2}^k \Phi_\emptyset^{I_j^\mu}.$$

On the one hand, $\Phi_{\{\lambda_0\}}^{I_0^\mu} \neq \emptyset$ because we chose $\lambda_0 \notin \bigcup_{\mu \in M} H_0^\mu$, so $\lambda_0 \notin I_0^\mu$. On the other hand, $\Phi_F^{I_1^\mu} \neq \emptyset$ because, first, since $F \subset M$ and $\mu' \notin H_1^\mu$ for every $\mu, \mu' \in M$, it follows that $F \cap \bigcup_{\mu \in F} H_1^\mu = \emptyset$ and second, since $F \subset S$, just by the definition of S , $F \cap G_1^{\lambda_0} = \emptyset$. \square

It is a consequence of Lemma 12 that $j(\tau) = j(\tau')$ whenever $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma) = \sigma_{\tau'}(\Gamma)$, since it shows that $j(\tau) = \omega$ if and only if $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ can be embedded into $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ for all $n < \omega$ and, if it is not the case, $j(\tau)$ is the greatest integer n for which $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ embeds into $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$. Hence, in the situation of part (1) of Theorem 1, it happens that $j(\tau) = j(\tau') = j$ and moreover that $\tau_n = \tau'_n$ for all $n \geq j$ since, by Lemma 12 again, $\tau_j = \tau'_j$ is the greatest integer k such that $\sigma_j(\Gamma)^k$ embeds into $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ and of course, $\tau_n = \tau'_n = 0$ for all $n > j$. In order to finish the proof of this part (1), we must check that $i(\tau) = i(\tau') = i$ and that $\tau_k = \tau'_k$ for $i < k < j$. In order to get this, we shall look at embeddability of spaces $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^k$ into the clopen sets of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$. For this purpose, we observe that it is enough to look at some basic family of clopen sets, if the others are union of them:

Lemma 14. *Let X be a compact space and C_1, \dots, C_t open subsets of X . If $\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k$ embeds into $\bigcup_1^t C_i$, then there exists $i \leq t$ such that $\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k$ embeds into C_i .*

Proof: It reduces to prove that whenever we express $\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k$ as a union of open sets as

$$\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_t$$

then some C_i must contain a copy of $\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k$. Pick $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $x_0 = (\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset) \in C_i$. There are finite sets G^1, \dots, G^k of Λ such that

$$x_0 \in \Phi_\emptyset^{G^1} \times \dots \times \Phi_\emptyset^{G^k} \subset C_i$$

This finishes the proof because $\Phi_\emptyset^{G^1} \times \cdots \times \Phi_\emptyset^{G^k}$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_n(\Lambda)^k$. \square

Let us denote now by $K = \prod_{s \in S} \sigma_{n_s}(\Gamma)$ any finite or countable product of spaces of type $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$. Any clopen set of K is a finite union of basic clopen sets of the form

$$C = \prod_{s \in A} \Phi_{F_s}^{G_s} \times \prod_{s \notin A} \sigma_{n_s}(\Gamma)$$

where A is a finite subset of S and $\Phi_{F_s}^{G_s}$ a basic clopen set of $\sigma_{n_s}(\Gamma)$. Such a basic clopen set is homeomorphic to

$$(\star) \ C \sim \prod_{s \in A} \sigma_{n_s - |F_s|}(\Gamma) \times \prod_{s \notin A} \sigma_{n_s}(\Gamma)$$

Now, after Lemma 12, Lemma 14 and the topological description (\star) of the basic clopen sets given above, we are in a position to state that, in the situation of part (1) of Theorem 1, the following hold:

- (A) $i(\tau) = i(\tau') = i$ is the greatest integer n such that $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ embeds into any clopen set of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$.
- (B) For $n = j, j-1, j-2, \dots, i+1$, $\tau_n = \tau'_n$ is the greatest integer k such that there is a clopen set C of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ in which $\sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)$ cannot be embedded, but in which nevertheless $\sigma_n(\Gamma)^{k + \sum_{r>n} \tau_r}$ does embed.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. For statement (A), since $\sigma_{i(\tau)}(\Gamma)^\omega$ is one of the factors of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$, it is clear that still $\sigma_{i(\tau)}(\Gamma)^\omega$ is a factor of any clopen set like in (\star) . On the other hand, there are only finitely many factors of type $\sigma_m(\Gamma)$, $m > i(\tau)$ in $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$, hence a clopen set like in (\star) can be obtained so that all factors in $\prod_{s \in A} \sigma_{n_s - |F_s|}(\Gamma) \times \prod_{s \notin A} \sigma_{n_s}(\Gamma)$ are of the form $\sigma_m(\Gamma)$ with $m \leq i(\tau)$. By Lemma 12, $\sigma_k(\Gamma)$ does not embed in such C if $k > i(\tau)$.

Statement (B) is proved by “downwards induction” starting in j and finishing with $i+1$. We know, by Lemma 11, that

$$\sigma_\tau(\Gamma) \sim \sigma_i(\Gamma)^\omega \times \prod_{m=i+1}^j \sigma_m(\Gamma)^{\tau_m}$$

Now statement (B) for $n = j$ is a direct consequence of Lemma 12 since no clopen can contain $\sigma_{j+1}(\Gamma)$ and the maximal exponent of $\sigma_j(\Gamma)$ inside $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ is τ_j . We pass to the case when $i < n < j$. The “biggest” possible basic clopen set C of $\sigma_\tau(\Gamma)$ not containing $\sigma_{n+1}(\Gamma)$ is obtained by reducing

as necessary the factors $\sigma_m(\Gamma)$ with $m > n$:

$$C \sim \sigma_i(\Gamma)^\omega \times \prod_{m=i+1}^n \sigma_m(\Gamma)^{\tau_m} \times \prod_{m=n+1}^j \sigma_n(\Gamma)^{\tau_m}$$

The maximal exponent of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ in such a C is $\sum_{m=n}^j \sigma_{\tau_m}$. \square

The present work was written during a visit to the University of Warsaw. The author wishes to thank their hospitality, specially to Witold Marciszewski and Roman Pol, and to Rafał Górak, from the Polish Academy of Sciences. This work owes very much to the discussion with them and their suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Argyros and A. D. Arvanitakis, *A characterization of regular averaging operators and its consequences*, *Studia Math.* **151** (2002), no. 3, 207–226.
- [2] Y. Benyamini, M. E. Rudin, and M. Wage, *Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **70** (1977), no. 2, 309–324.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and T. Starbird, *Embedding weakly compact sets into Hilbert space*, *Israel J. Math.* **23** (1976), no. 2, 137–141.
- [4] W. W. Comfort and S. A. Negreponis, *Chain conditions in topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 79, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [5] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [6] W. Marciszewski, *On Banach spaces $C(K)$ isomorphic to $c_0(\Gamma)$* , *Studia Math.* **156** (2003), no. 3, 295–302.
- [7] S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpiński, *Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables*, *Fund. Math.* **1** (1920), 17–27.
- [8] A. A. Miljutin, *Isomorphism of the spaces of continuous functions over compact sets of the cardinality of the continuum* (Russian), *Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. Vyp.* **2** (1966), 150–156.
- [9] A. Pelczyński, *Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions*, *Dissertationes Math. Rozprawy Mat.* **58** (1968), 92.
- [10] E. V. Shchepin, *Topology of limit spaces of uncountable inverse spectra* (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **31** (1976), no. 5, 191–226. Translated in *Russian Math. Surveys* **31** (1976), no 5, 155–191.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN

E-mail address: avileslo@um.es