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## 1 Introduction

An excellent overview concerning results about midpoint locally uniformly rotund (MLUR for short) Banach spaces can be found in [5]. We would like to mention in addition the paper of R. Haydon [7] devoted to renormings of $C(T)$ where $T$ is a tree. There he characterizes the trees $T$ for which $C(T)$ is MLUR renormable and gives the first example of a Banach space which has an equivalent MLUR norm but no locally uniformly rotund (LUR for short) renorming. Actually the class of the trees $T$ for which $C(T)$ is MLUR-renormable is the same as that of the trees $T$ for which $C(T)$ has a rotund equivalent norm. In general this coincidence is not true (see [2], [1]). In this paper we characterize in terms of linear topological conditions the Banach spaces which admit an equivalent MLUR norm.

Definition 1 [9] Let $A$ be an arbitrary subset of a normed space $X$ and $\varepsilon, \delta>0$. The point $x \in A$ is said to be an $(\varepsilon, \delta)$-strongly extreme point of $A$ if

$$
\|u-v\|<\varepsilon \text { whenever }\|x-(u+v) / 2\|<\delta \text { and } u, v \in A \text {. }
$$

The point $x \in A$ is said to be $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point of $A$ if there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $x$ is an $(\varepsilon, \delta)$-strongly extreme point of $A$.

Let us recall that a normed space (or the norm on) $X$ is MLUR if all the points of its unit sphere are $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme points for $B_{X}$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. This assertion is equivalent to

$$
\lim _{k}\left\|u_{k}-v_{k}\right\|=0 \text { whenever } \lim _{k}\left\|x-\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right) / 2\right\|=0, \quad\left\|u_{k}\right\|, \quad\left\|v_{k}\right\| \leq\|x\|=1
$$

which in turn is equivalent to $\lim _{k}\left\|x_{k}\right\|=0$ whenever $\lim _{k}\left\|x \pm x_{k}\right\|=\|x\|=1$. A normed space (or the norm on) $X$ is $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U R}$ if $\lim _{k}\left\|x-x_{k}\right\|=0$ whenever $\lim _{k}\left\|\left(x+x_{k}\right) / 2\right\|=$ $\left\|x_{k}\right\|=\|x\|=1$.

Theorem 1 A normed space $X$ is MLUR renormable if and only if for every positive number $\varepsilon$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n, \varepsilon} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a way that all points of $X_{n, \varepsilon}$ are $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme of $\operatorname{conv}\left(X_{n, \varepsilon}\right)$.
We have a similar result for dual MLUR renorming.
Theorem $2 A$ normed space $X$ has an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ such that $(X,|\cdot|)^{*}$ is MLUR if and only if for any $\varepsilon>0$ we can write $X^{*}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n, \varepsilon}$ in such a way that all points of $X_{n, \varepsilon}$ are $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme of $\overline{c^{\prime} v^{w^{*}}}\left(X_{n, \varepsilon}\right)$.

Remark 1 A similar characterization for the existence of LUR renormings has been obtained recently in [11] by means of probabilistic tools where, roughly speaking, $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point has been replaced by $\varepsilon$-denting point. Let us recall that a point $x$ in $A \subset X$ is said to be $\varepsilon$-denting for $A$ if there exist $f \in X^{*}$ and a real number $\theta$ such that the open slice $S=\{u \in A: f(u)>\theta\}$ of $A$ verifies $x \in S$ and diam $S<\varepsilon$.
The idea of splitting the space in countable pieces in such a way that every point of every piece is an $\varepsilon$-denting point has its origin in the paper [8] where the notion of countable cover by sets of small local diameter was introduced. In [12] the above result about LUR renorming was extended for dual norms in terms of $\varepsilon-w^{*}$-denting points. The method in [12] of construction of the norm is based on geometric convexity arguments mixed with the topological notion of network together with a reduction argument for the non-convex case based on the Bourgain-Namioka Superlemma [4, p. 157].

Deville's Master Lemma [3, Chapter VII, §1] is present in most of the constructions of the norms with different convex properties. R. Haydon [7] has extensively used it for some renormings of $C(T)$ where $T$ is a tree. The roots of this approach can be traced back in [13] which in turn is based on some ideas of approximation theory. In § 3 of this paper we develop a linear topological method for LUR and MLUR renormings which plays the same role as Deville's Master Lemma when the renormings are obtained from the above covering characterizations. The geometrical part of this method is the following:

Proposition 1 Let $x$ be a point of a bounded subset $A$ of a normed space $X$, let $\varepsilon$, $\eta, \theta$ be real numbers with $\varepsilon, \eta>0$, let $f$ be in $X^{*}$, and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator. Assume that the following hold:
i) $\sup _{A} f=f(x)>\theta$ and $\|T w-w\|<\eta$, whenever $w$ belongs to the open slice $S=\{w \in A: f(w)>\theta\} ;$
ii) $T x$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme (denting) point of conv (TS) (TS respectively).

Then $x$ is a $2(\varepsilon+\eta)$-strongly extreme (denting) point of conv $(A)$ ( $A$ respectively).
The condition of the existence of a bidual MLUR renorming in a Banach space is completely different from the LUR one. It is well known and easy to see that for every Banach space $X$ and for every $z \in X^{* *}$ such that $\|z\|=1$, there exists a sequence
$\left(x_{k}\right)$ in $X,\left\|x_{k}\right\|=1$, such that $\lim _{k}\left\|x_{k}+z\right\|=2$. Since we have $\left\|x_{k}-z\right\| \geq \operatorname{dist}(z, X)$ the unit sphere of $S_{X^{* *}}$ has no LUR point in $S_{X^{* *}} \backslash X$. In § 4 we prove that in James space $J$ there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ such that $(J,|\cdot|)^{* *}$ is MLUR. Let us mention that recently P. Hájek [6] has proved that $J$ has an equivalent norm $|\| \cdot||\mid$ such that $(J,|||\cdot|||)^{* *}$ is rotund.

The final version of this paper was prepared during the stay of the third author in the University of Valencia and in the University of Murcia during the Academic Year 1998-1999. He acknowledges his gratitude for the hospitality and facilities provided by the University of Valencia and the University of Murcia.

## 2 Construction of an MLUR norm

Given a subset $A$ of a normed space $X$ and positive real numbers $m, r$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{s}:=\left\{t w: 0 \leq t \leq 1, w \in A \cap\left(s B_{X}\right)\right\},  \tag{2}\\
& A^{m, s}:=A^{s}+m^{-1} B_{X} \quad \text { and } \\
& A_{r}^{m, s}:=A^{m, s} \cap\left(r B_{X}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1 Let $A$ be a subset of a normed space $X$ and let $\varepsilon, \delta, \eta$ be positive real numbers with $3 \eta<\min (\varepsilon, \delta)$. Let $x$ be a non-zero element of $X$ which is an $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ strongly extreme point of $A$. Then
i) $x$ is a $(2 \varepsilon, 2 \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A+\eta B_{X}$;
ii) there exist rational numbers $r$, s with $0<r<\|x\|<s$, such that $x$ is a $(2 \varepsilon, 2 \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A^{s} \backslash r B_{X}$;
iii) there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and rational numbers $r$, s with $0<r<\|x\|<s$, such that $x$ belongs to the interior of $A^{m, s}$ and such that $x$ is a $(3 \varepsilon, \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A^{m, s} \backslash A_{r}^{m, s}$.

Proof. i) Take $u, v \in A+\eta B_{X}$ with $\|x-(u+v) / 2\|<2 \eta$. We can choose $u_{1}, v_{1} \in A$ such that $\left\|u-u_{1}\right\|<\eta$ and $\left\|v-v_{1}\right\|<\eta$. Then

$$
\left\|x-\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x-\frac{u+v}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{u+v}{2}-\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}\right\|<2 \eta+\left\|\frac{u-u_{1}}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{v-v_{1}}{2}\right\| \leq \delta
$$

so $\left\|u_{1}-v_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon$ and

$$
\|u-v\| \leq\left\|u-u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{1}-v_{1}\right\|+\left\|v_{1}-v\right\|<\eta+\varepsilon+\eta<2 \varepsilon .
$$

ii) Choose rational numbers $r, s$ such that $0<r<\|x\|<s$ and $r-s<\eta / 2$. Take $u$, $v \in A^{s} \backslash r B_{X}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u+v) / 2-x\|<2 \eta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There must exist $u_{1}, v_{1} \in A \cap s B_{X}$ such that

$$
u=t_{1} u_{1}, \quad v=t_{2} v_{1}, \quad 0 \leq t_{1} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t_{2} \leq 1 .
$$

We have that

$$
r \leq\|u\|=t_{1}\left\|u_{1}\right\| \leq t_{1} s, \quad r \leq\|v\|=t_{2}\left\|v_{1}\right\| \leq t_{2} s
$$

so

$$
\left\|u_{1}-u\right\|=\left\|u_{1}\right\|-\|u\| \leq s-r<\eta,
$$

and in a similar way we deduce $\left\|v_{1}-v\right\|<\eta$. Consequently $u, v \in A+\eta B_{X}$. From i) and (3) we get $\|u-v\|<2 \varepsilon$.
iii) Since $x \in A^{s}$ and $A^{m, s}=A^{s}+m^{-1} B_{X}$ it follows that $x$ is an internal point of $A^{m, s}$. On the other hand, according to ii) there are rational numbers $r_{1}$ and $s, 0<r_{1}<$ $\|x\|<s$, in such a way that $x$ is a $(2 \varepsilon, 2 \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A^{s} \backslash r_{1} B_{X}$. Take a rational number $r, r_{1}<r<\|x\|$ and a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
m^{-1}<\min \left(r-r_{1}, \eta\right)
$$

Let

$$
u, v \in A^{m, s} \backslash A_{r}^{m, s}=A^{m, s} \backslash r B_{X}, \text { with }\|x-(u+v) / 2\|<\eta .
$$

We can choose $u_{1}, v_{1} \in A_{s}$ such that

$$
\left\|u-u_{1}\right\| \leq m^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|v-v_{1}\right\| \leq m^{-1}
$$

We have

$$
\left\|u_{1}\right\| \geq\|u\|-\left\|u-u_{1}\right\|>r-m^{-1}>r_{1}
$$

so $u_{1} \notin r_{1} B_{X}$. The same argument shows that $v_{1} \notin r_{1} B_{X}$ hence $u_{1}, v_{1} \in A^{s} \backslash r_{1} B_{X}$. On the other hand

$$
\left\|x-\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x-\frac{u+v}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{u_{1}-u}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{v_{1}-v}{2}\right\|<\eta+m^{-1}<2 \eta .
$$

Since $x$ is a $(2 \varepsilon, 2 \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A^{s} \backslash\left(r_{1} B_{X}\right)$ we have $\left\|u_{1}-v_{1}\right\|<2 \varepsilon$ and finally

$$
\|u-v\| \leq\left\|u-u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{1}-v_{1}\right\|+\left\|v_{1}-v\right\|<m^{-1}+2 \varepsilon+m^{-1}<3 \varepsilon .
$$

Lemma 2 Let $\left(A_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of closed convex subsets of a normed space $X$ such that, for every $x \in X$ and every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n$ such that $x$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point of $A_{n}$. Then $X$ is MLUR renormable.

Proof. Fix $A_{n}$, we set, as defined before (see (2))

$$
A_{n}^{s}:=\left(A_{n}\right)^{s}, \quad A_{n}^{m, s}:=\left(A_{n}\right)^{m, s}, \quad A_{n, r}^{m, s}:=\left(A_{n}\right)_{r}^{m, s}
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}, r$ and $s$ are rational numbers such that $0<r<s$. Every one of the sets $A_{n}^{m, s}$ and $A_{n, r}^{m, s}$ is convex and 0 belongs to its interior. If $\mathbb{Q}_{+}$is the set of all positive rational numbers we write the sets

$$
\left\{A_{n}^{m, s}: m, n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}\right\} \cup\left\{A_{n, r}^{m, s}: m, n \in \mathbb{N}, r, s \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}, r<s\right\}
$$

as a sequence $\left(C_{j}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$. Let $|\cdot|_{j}$ be the Minkowski functional of $C_{j}$. If

$$
\|x\|_{j}:=\left(|x|_{j}^{2}+|-x|_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad x \in X
$$

we have that $\|\cdot\|_{j}$ is an equivalent norm so there exist constants $a_{j}>0$ such that

$$
\|\mid x\|\left\|^{2}:=\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{j}\right\| x \|_{j}^{2}, \quad x \in X,
$$

is an equivalent norm in $X$. We claim that $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ is MLUR. Indeed take $x, u_{k}, v_{k}$ in $X$ such that $\left\|\left|u_{k}\left\|\left|,\left\|\left|v_{k}\| \| \leq\||x \||=1\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ and

$$
\lim _{k}\left\|\left|\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right) / 2-x \|\right|=0\right.
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{k}\left|\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right) / 2\right|_{j}=|x|_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k}\left\|\left|\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right) / 2\right|\right\|=\||x|\|=\left\|\left|u_{k}\right|\right\|=\left\|\left|v_{k}\right|\right\|=1
$$

By standard convexity arguments (see e.g. [3, Fact 2.3, p. 45]) from the above equalities we conclude that

$$
\lim _{k}\left|u_{k}\right|_{j}=\lim _{k}\left|v_{k}\right|_{j}=|x|_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $A_{n}$ such that $x$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point of $A_{n}$. From iii) of Lemma 1 it follows that there exist a positive integer $m$, positive rational numbers $r, s$, and $\eta>0$ such that $0<r<\|x\|<s$ and $x$ is an internal $(3 \varepsilon, \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $A_{n}^{m, s} \backslash A_{n, r}^{m, s}$. Set $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_{p}=A_{n}^{m, s}$ and $C_{q}=A_{n, r}^{m, s}$. Then $x$ belongs to the interior of $C_{p}$. Since $\|x\|>r, x$ does not belong to $\overline{C_{q}}\|\cdot\|$. Hence $|x|_{p}<1$ and $|x|_{q}>1$. Choose a positive integer $k_{0}$ such that if $k \geq k_{0}$ we have

$$
\left|u_{k}\right|_{p}<1, \quad\left|v_{k}\right|_{p}<1, \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{q}>1, \quad\left|v_{k}\right|_{q}>1, \quad\left\|x-\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right) / 2\right\|<\eta .
$$

Then

$$
u_{k}, v_{k} \in A_{n}^{m, s} \backslash A_{n, r}^{m, s}, \quad k \geq k_{0},
$$

so

$$
\left\|u_{k}-v_{k}\right\|<3 \varepsilon, \quad k \geq k_{0}
$$

Consequently

$$
\lim _{k}\left\|u_{k}-v_{k}\right\|=0
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. To show that the condition is necessary let us assume that the norm of $X$ is MLUR. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. For a non-negative rational number $r$ we denote by $X_{r, \varepsilon}$ the set of all points that are $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme of $r B_{X}$. We claim that

$$
X=\bigcup_{r} X_{r, \varepsilon}
$$

Indeed, let $x \in X, x \neq 0$. Since the norm of $X$ is MLUR we can find $\delta>0$ such that $x$ is an $(\varepsilon / 2, \delta)$-strongly extreme point of $\|x\| B_{X}$. According to i) of Lemma 1 there exists $\eta>0$ such that $x$ is an $(\varepsilon, \eta)$-strongly extreme point of $(\|x\|+\eta) B_{X}$, and $r=\|x\|+\eta$ is rational. So $x \in X_{r, \varepsilon}$.

To show that the condition is sufficient let $X=\bigcup\left\{X_{1 / m, n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ in such a way that all points of $X_{1 / m, n}$ are $1 / m$-strongly extreme points of $\overline{\text { conv }}\|\cdot\|\left(X_{1 / m, n}\right)=A_{m, n}$. Since the sets $A_{m, n}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2 we have that $X$ admits an equivalent MLUR norm.

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1. Since in this case the sets $B_{X}$ and $A_{n}$ are $w^{*}$-closed and so are $A_{n}^{m . s}$ and $A_{n, r}^{m . s}$ then the norm obtained following the above argument must be a dual norm.

Remark 2 Let us mention that if for every $\varepsilon>0$ we can split a radial subset $R \subset X$ (i.e. $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda R$ ) into countable pieces $R_{n, \varepsilon}$ in such a way that every $x \in R_{n, \varepsilon}$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point of $\operatorname{conv}\left(R_{n, \varepsilon}\right)$ then (1) is fulfilled. Indeed assume that for every $\varepsilon>0$ we can write $R=\bigcup_{n} R_{n, \varepsilon}$ in such a way that for every $z \in R_{n, \varepsilon}$ there exists $\delta(z, \varepsilon)>0$ such that $z$ is an $(\varepsilon, \delta(z, \varepsilon))$-strongly extreme point of $\operatorname{conv}\left(R_{n, \varepsilon}\right)$. Since $R$ is radial for every $x \in X, x \neq 0$, there exists $\nu(x)>0$ such that $z(x)=\nu(x) x \in R$.

For $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$by $X_{m, n}^{k, q}$ we denote the set of all $x \in X$ such that $z(x) \in R_{n, \varepsilon / m}$ and

$$
\|x\| \leq m, \nu(x) \geq m^{-1}, \delta\left(z(x), m^{-1} \varepsilon\right) \geq k^{-1}, 4 m|q-\nu(x)| \leq \min \left\{k^{-1}, m^{-1} \varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Since $\nu(x) \geq m^{-1}$ and $|q-\nu(x)| \leq(4 m)^{-1}$ for all $x \in X_{m, n}^{k, q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \geq \nu(x)-(4 m)^{-1} \geq 3(4 m)^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $X_{m, n}^{k, q} \neq \emptyset$.
We show that all points in $X_{m, n}^{k, q}$ are $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme of conv $\left(X_{m, n}^{k, q}\right)$. Indeed, let $x \in X_{m, n}^{k, q}$ and $u, v \in \operatorname{conv}\left(X_{m, n}^{k, q}\right)$ be such that $\|x-(u+v) / 2\|<(4 k q)^{-1}$. Then there exist $u_{i}, v_{i} \in X_{m, n}^{k, q}$ and $\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i} \geq 0, \sum \lambda_{i}=\sum \mu_{i}=1$ such that $u=\sum \lambda_{i} u_{i}$, $v=\sum \mu_{i} v_{i}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|z(x)-\sum\left(\lambda_{i} z\left(u_{i}\right)+\mu_{i} z\left(v_{i}\right)\right) / 2\right\|=\left\|\nu(x) x-\sum\left(\lambda_{i} \nu\left(u_{i}\right) u_{i}+\mu_{i} \nu\left(v_{i}\right) v_{i}\right) / 2\right\| \leq \\
\leq q\|x-(u+v) / 2\|+|\nu(x)-q|\|x\|+\sum\left(\lambda_{i}\left|\nu\left(u_{i}\right)-q\right|\left\|u_{i}\right\|+\mu_{i}\left|\nu\left(v_{i}\right)-q\right|\left\|v_{i}\right\|\right) / 2<
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
<(4 k)^{-1}+(4 k)^{-1}+(4 k)^{-1}+(4 k)^{-1}=k^{-1} \leq \delta\left(z(x), m^{-1} \varepsilon\right)
$$

Hence $\| \sum\left(\lambda_{i} z\left(u_{i}\right)-\mu_{i} z\left(v_{i}\right) \|<m^{-1} \varepsilon\right.$. This implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
q\|u-v\|=\left\|\sum\left(\lambda_{i} q u_{i}-\mu_{i} q v_{i}\right)\right\| \leq \\
\leq \sum\left(\lambda_{i}\left|q-\nu\left(u_{i}\right)\right|\left\|u_{i}\right\|+\mu_{i}\left|q-\nu\left(v_{i}\right)\right|\left\|v_{i}\right\|\right)+\left\|\sum\left(\lambda_{i} z\left(u_{i}\right)-\mu_{i} z\left(v_{i}\right)\right)\right\|< \\
<(4 m)^{-1} \varepsilon+(4 m)^{-1} \varepsilon+m^{-1} \varepsilon=3 \varepsilon(4 m)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This and (4) imply $\|u-v\|<\varepsilon$.

## 3 Decomposition Method.

As we mention in the Introduction, Proposition 1 plays here the same role as the Decomposition Method does in [3, Chapter VII, §1]. We illustrate this in the following assertions which are the main tools of R. Haydon [7] for LUR and MLUR renormings of $C(T)$ where $T$ is a tree.

If $L$ is a locally compact scattered space by $C_{0}(L)$ we denote the set of all continuous real valued functions on $L$ vanishing at infinity endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. For a clopen subset $K$ of $L$ and $x \in C_{0}(L)$ we write $P_{K} x=\mathbb{1}_{K} x$. Clearly $P_{K} x \in C_{0}(L)$ Let $\varepsilon>0$, we denote by $E_{\varepsilon}(K)$ the set of all $x \in \ell_{\infty}(K)$ such that $\left\|x-\left(a \mathbb{1}_{M}+b \mathbb{1}_{N}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M, N \subset K, M \cup N=K, M \cap N=\emptyset$.

Proposition 2 [7, Proposition 5.3.] Let $L$ be a locally compact scattered space, let $\left\{K_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a family of clopen subsets of $L$ and $U: C_{0}(L) \rightarrow c_{0}(\Gamma)$ a bounded linear operator. Assume that, for every $x \in C_{0}(L)$, every $t \in L$ with $x(t) \neq 0$, and every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $U x(\gamma) \neq 0, t \in K_{\gamma}$ and either $C_{0}\left(K_{\gamma}\right)$ is MLUR renormable or $x \in E_{\varepsilon}\left(K_{\gamma}\right)$. Then $C_{0}(L)$ is MLUR renormable.

The key point of our proof of the above proposition is the following assertion which is a consequence of Proposition 1.

Corollary 1 Let $\varepsilon, \eta$ be positive real numbers. Let $\Gamma$ be a well ordered set, $L$ a locally compact scattered space, $\left\{K_{\gamma}\right\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ a family of clopen subsets of $L$ and $U: C_{0}(L) \rightarrow$ $c_{0}(\Gamma)$ a bounded linear operator. Let $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ be a LUR equivalent norm in $c_{0}(\Gamma), A$ a subset of $D=\left\{u \in C_{0}(L):\|U u\|_{0}=1\right\}$, and $\Delta$ a map from $A$ into the set of all finite increasing sequences of elements of $\Gamma$ such that for every $x \in A$ we have $\Delta(x) \subset$ $\{\gamma \in \Gamma: U x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ and $\left\|P_{K(x)} x-x\right\|_{\infty}<\eta$, where $K(x)=\bigcup\left\{K_{\beta}: \beta \in \Delta(x)\right\}$ and $P_{K_{\beta}} x$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme (denting) point of conv $\left(P_{K_{\beta}} A(x)\right)$ (respectively $P_{K_{\beta}} A(x)$ ) for $\beta \in \Delta(x)$, where $A(x)=\{y \in A: \Delta(y)=\Delta(x)\}$.

Then we can write $A=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}$ in such a way that all the points of $A_{n}$ are $2(\varepsilon+\eta)$-strongly extreme (denting) points of conv $\left(A_{n}\right)$ (respectively $\left.A_{n}\right)$.

Proof. We assume that $\|z\|_{\infty} \leq\|z\|_{0}$ for all $z \in c_{0}(\Gamma)$. Since $U$ is bounded $|\|x \mid\|=$ $\|U x\|_{0}$ is a continuous seminorm on $X$. So for every $x \in D$ there exists $f \in C_{0}(L)^{*}$
supporting $x$ with respect to $|\|\cdot \mid\|$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sup _{D} f=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that for every $x \in D$ and every $\xi>0$ there exists $\delta=\delta(x, \xi)$ such that for all $y \in D$ with $f(y)>1-\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U x-U y\|_{0}<\xi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed since $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ is a $\mathbf{L U R}$ norm in $c_{0}(\Gamma)$ we can find $\delta=\delta(x, \xi)$ such that $\|U x-U y\|_{0}<$ $\xi$ whenever $y \in D$ and $\|(U x+U y) / 2\|_{0}>1-\delta / 2$. Take now $y \in D$ such that $f(y)>1-\delta$. From (5) we get

$$
1-\delta / 2<f(x+y) / 2 \leq\left|\|(x+y) / 2 \mid\|=\|(U x+U y) / 2\|_{0}\right.
$$

Now we will split $A$ into a countable number of pieces in such a way that in any of them we can apply Proposition 1 . We say that the pair $(\ell, q)$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q=\left(q_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{m} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}$ is admissible if $\left|q_{i}\right|>\ell^{-1}$ and $q_{i_{1}}=q_{i_{2}}$ whenever $q_{i_{1}} \leq q_{i_{2}}<q_{i_{1}}+\ell^{-1}$. We denote by $A_{j, \ell, q}^{\sigma}$ the subset of $A$ of all $x$ such that $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq j$ and there exists an increasing sequence $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i}(x) \in \Gamma, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that, $\Delta(x) \subset\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}$, $q_{i} \leq U x\left(\alpha_{i}\right)<q_{i}+\ell^{-1}, i=1,2, \ldots, m, \min _{i}\left|q_{i}\right|>\max \left\{|U x(\gamma)|: \gamma \notin\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{m}\right\}+\ell^{-1}$ and $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}$ with $\sigma_{i}=0$ if $\alpha_{i} \notin \Delta(x), \sigma_{i}=1$ if $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta(x)$. Evidently

$$
A=\bigcup\left\{A_{j, \ell, q}^{\sigma}: \sigma \in\{0,1\}^{m}, j \in \mathbb{N},(\ell, q) \text { is an admissible pair }\right\}
$$

Pick $x \in A_{j, \ell, q}^{\sigma}$. Take $f$ satisfying (5) and

$$
y \in S=\left\{w \in A_{j, \ell, q}^{\sigma}: f(w)>1-\delta\left(x, \ell^{-1}\right)\right\} .
$$

From (6) we get that $|U x(\gamma)-U y(\gamma)| \leq\|U x-U y\|_{0}<\ell^{-1}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $\alpha_{i}(x)=\alpha_{i}(y)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Taking into account that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}$ is an increasing sequence we get $\Delta(x)=\Delta(y)$, so $K(x)=K(y)$ thus

$$
\left\|P_{K(x)} y-y\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|P_{K(y)} y-y\right\|_{\infty}<\eta .
$$

Since $\left\|P_{M \cup N} u\right\|_{\infty}=\max \left\{\left\|P_{M} u\right\|_{\infty},\left\|P_{N} u\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$ for every $M, N \subset L$ and every $u \in$ $C_{0}(L)$ we get that $P_{K(x)} x$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme (denting) point of conv $\left(P_{K(x)} S\right)$ (respectively $\left.P_{K(x)} S\right)$. Now we can apply Proposition 1 for $A=A_{j, \ell, q}^{\sigma}, T=P_{K(x)}, f$ satisfying (5) and $\theta=1-\delta(x, 1 /(2 \ell))$.

The next assertion is a reformulation of [7, Lemma 5.2.].
For $x \in \ell_{\infty}(K)$ we set $\omega(x)=\sup \{x(t)-x(s): s, t \in K\}$.
Lemma 3 Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $x \in E_{\varepsilon}(K)$ and let $y, z \in \ell_{\infty}(K)$ with $\|x-(y+z) / 2\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$, $\|y\|_{\infty},\|z\|_{\infty} \leq\|x\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon$, and $\omega(y), \omega(z) \leq \omega(x)+\varepsilon$. Then $\|y-z\|_{\infty}<15 \varepsilon$.

Corollary 2 For any $\varepsilon>0$ we can write $E_{\varepsilon}(K)=\bigcup_{n} E_{n, \varepsilon}$ in such a way that all the points of $E_{n, \varepsilon}$ are $15 \varepsilon$-strongly extreme points of $\operatorname{conv}\left(E_{n, \varepsilon}\right)$.

Proof. Given $q_{\omega}, q_{\infty} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$we set

$$
E_{q_{\omega}, q_{\infty}, \varepsilon}=\left\{x \in E_{\varepsilon}:\left|\omega(x)-q_{\omega}\right| \leq \varepsilon / 2,\left|\|x\|_{\infty}-q_{\infty}\right| \leq \varepsilon / 2\right\} .
$$

Evidently for $x \in E_{\varepsilon}$ and $u \in \operatorname{conv}\left(E_{q_{\omega}, q_{\infty}, \varepsilon}\right)$ we have $\omega(u) \leq q_{\omega}+\varepsilon / 2 \leq \omega(x)+\varepsilon$, $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|+\varepsilon$. This and the former lemma complete the proof.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for which $C\left(K_{\gamma}\right)$ is MLUR renormable and let $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma^{\prime}$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. From Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(K_{\gamma}\right)=\bigcup_{n} X_{n}^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime} ; \quad E_{\varepsilon / 15}\left(K_{\gamma}\right)=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{n}^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that every $x \in X_{n}^{\gamma}$ is an $\varepsilon$-strongly extreme point of $\operatorname{conv}\left(X_{n}^{\gamma}\right), \gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Assume now that $\Gamma$ is well ordered. From the assumption of the proposition it follows that for every $x \in C_{0}(L)$ there exists $\Delta(x)=\left\{\gamma_{i}(x)\right\}_{1}^{m} \subset \Gamma, \gamma_{1}(x)<\gamma_{2}(x)<$ $\ldots<\gamma_{m}(x)$ such that for every $t \in L$ with $|x(t)| \geq \varepsilon$ we can find $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$ in such a way that $t \in K_{\gamma_{i}(x)}$ and either $\gamma_{i}(x) \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ or $P_{K_{\gamma_{i}(x)}} x \in E_{\varepsilon / 15}\left(K_{\gamma_{i}(x)}\right)$. Let $D$ be from Corollary 1 and $m \in \mathbb{N}, n=\left(n_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}$. By $A_{m, n}$ we denote the set of all $x \in D$ such that $\Delta(x)=\left\{\gamma_{i}(x)\right\}_{1}^{m}$ and $P_{K_{\gamma_{i}(x)}} x \in X_{n_{i}}^{\gamma_{i}(x)}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Set $K(x)=\bigcup_{1}^{m} K_{\gamma_{i}(x)}$ and $A_{m, n}(x)=\left\{y \in A_{m, n}: \Delta(x)=\Delta(y)\right\}$. Then $\left\|P_{K(x)} x-x\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$. Since $\Delta(x)$ is an increasing sequence we get $\gamma_{i}(y)=\gamma_{i}(x)$ for all $y \in A_{m, n}(x)$. Hence according to (7) and Corollary 1 we can write $A_{m, n}=\bigcup A_{m, n}^{\ell}$ in such a way that all the points of $A_{m, n}^{\ell}$ are $4 \varepsilon$-strongly extreme points of $\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{m, n}^{\ell}\right)$. Since $D$ is a radial set for $C_{0}(L)$ and $D=\bigcup\left\{A_{m, n}^{\ell}: \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from Remark 2 we get that $C_{0}(L)$ is MLUR renormable.

In a similar way from Corollary 1 and [11, Main Theorem] we can deduce Proposition 4.2 of [7].

In order to prove Proposition 1 we need the following
Lemma 4 Let $A, x, f, \theta$, and $S$ be as in Proposition 1. Then for every convex combination

$$
y=\sum \lambda_{i} y_{i}, y_{i} \in A, \lambda_{i}>0, \sum \lambda_{i}=1
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left\{\lambda_{i}: y_{i} \notin S\right\} \leq\|f\|\|x-y\| /(f(x)-\theta) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $I=\left\{i: y_{i} \in S\right\}$ then

$$
\sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right) \leq \theta \sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i}, \quad \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right) \leq\left(\sup _{A} f\right) \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{i}=f(x) \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{i} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|\|x-y\| & \geq f(x-y)=f(x)-f(y)=f(x)-\sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right)-\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right) \geq \\
& \geq f(x)-\theta \sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i}-f(x) \sum_{i \in I}=f(x)-\theta \sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i}-f(x)\left(1-\sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i}\right)= \\
& =(f(x)-\theta) \sum_{i \notin I} \lambda_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (8).
Proof of Proposition 1. We can find a $\delta>0$ such that $T x$ is an $(\varepsilon, \delta)$-strongly extreme point of conv (TS). Take

$$
a=\sup _{A}\|w\| \text { and } \tau=\min \{\varepsilon / 8 a, \delta /(1+4 a)\|T\|\}
$$

Let
$y_{i}, z_{i} \in A, \mu_{i}, \nu_{i}>0, \sum \mu_{i}=\sum \nu_{i}=1,\|x-(y+z) / 2\|<\tau \min \{1,(f(x)-\theta) /\|f\|\}$, where $y=\sum \mu_{i} y_{i}, z=\sum \nu_{i} z_{i}$.

Set $I_{y}=\left\{i: y_{i} \in S\right\}, I_{z}=\left\{i: z_{i} \in S\right\}$ it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i \notin I_{y}} \mu_{i}+\sum_{i \notin I_{z}} \nu_{i}\right)<\tau \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(\sum_{i \notin I_{y}} \mu_{i}\right) x+\sum_{i \in I_{y}} \mu_{i} y_{i}, \quad v=\left(\sum_{i \notin I_{z}} \nu_{i}\right) x+\sum_{i \in I_{z}} \nu_{i} z_{i} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|x\|,\left\|y_{i}\right\|,\left\|z_{i}\right\| \leq a$ from (9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u-y\| \leq 4 a \tau<\varepsilon / 2, \quad\|v-z\| \leq 4 a \tau<\varepsilon / 2  \tag{11}\\
& \left\|x-\frac{u+v}{2}\right\| \leq\left\|x-\frac{y+z}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{u-y}{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{v-z}{2}\right\|<\tau+4 a \tau \leq \frac{\delta}{\|T\|} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (10) we can write

$$
u=\sum \lambda_{i} u_{i}, v=\sum \lambda_{i} v_{i}, \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \sum \lambda_{i}=1, u_{i}, v_{i} \in S
$$

From (12) we get

$$
\|T x-(T u+T v) / 2\|<\delta
$$

Since $T u, T v \in \operatorname{conv}(T S)$ and $T x$ is an $(\varepsilon, \delta)$-strongly extreme point of $\operatorname{conv}(T S)$ from the above inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u-T v\|<\varepsilon . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{i}, v_{i} \in S$ we have $\left\|T u_{i}-u_{i}\right\|<\eta,\left\|T v_{i}-v_{i}\right\|<\eta$. So

$$
\|T u-u\| \leq \sum \lambda_{i}\left\|T u_{i}-u_{i}\right\|<\eta, \quad\|T v-v\|<\eta
$$

Then from (13) we deduce

$$
\|u-v\| \leq\|u-T u\|+\|T u-T v\|+\|T v-v\| \leq \varepsilon+2 \eta
$$

This and (11) imply $\|y-z\|<2(\varepsilon+\eta)$.
The proof of Proposition 1 in the case when $T x$ is an $\varepsilon$-denting point of $T S$ can be done in a similar way.

## 4 A bidual renorming of the James space.

We start with the following
Proposition 3 Let $X$ be a Banach space with a monotone shrinking basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ and let $u$ be an element of $X^{* *}$. Assume that, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $\left\|R_{j}^{* *} z\right\|<\varepsilon$ whenever the element $z$ of $X^{* *}$ and the natural number $j$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{j}^{* *}(u \pm z)\right\|-\left\|R_{j}^{* *} u\right\|<\delta(\varepsilon) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{j} x=\sum_{i>j} f_{i}(x) e_{i}$ and $\left(f_{i}\right)$ is the conjugate system to the basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$.
Then there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ in $X$ such that all the points of $S_{(X,|\cdot|) * * \cap} \cap$ $Y$ are strongly extreme of $B_{(X,| |)^{* *}}$, where $Y=\operatorname{span}\{u, X\}$.

Proof. For $x \in X$ set

$$
|x|=\left(\|x\|^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j}\left(\left\|R_{j} x\right\|^{2}+\left(f_{j}(x) /\left\|f_{j}\right\|\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since the basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ is monotone and shrinking we have for all $z \in X^{* *}$ (see e.g. [10, p. 8]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\ell}\left\|P_{\ell}^{* *} z\right\|=\|z\| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j} x=x-R_{j} x$ for $x \in X$.
Since $\left(e_{i}\right)$ is a monotone basis with respect to $|\cdot|$ replacing in (15) $z$ by $R_{j}^{* *} z$ we get

$$
|z|=\lim _{\ell}\left|P_{\ell}^{* *} z\right|=\left(\|z\|^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j}\left(\left\|R_{j} z\right\|^{2}+\left(z\left(f_{j}\right) /\left\|f_{j}\right\|\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $z \in X^{* *}$.
Pick $y \in Y$. Then $y=x+b u$ for some $x \in X$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. let $z_{k} \in X^{* *}$ and

$$
\lim _{k}\left|y \pm z_{k}\right|=|y|
$$

By convexity arguments we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k}\left\|R_{j}^{* *}\left(y \pm z_{k}\right)\right\|=\left\|R_{j}^{* *} y\right\|, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k} f_{j}\left(z_{k}\right)=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k}\left\|P_{j}^{* *} z_{k}\right\|=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$ then $y \in X$ and $\lim _{j}\left\|R_{j}^{* *} y\right\|=0$. Since for all $j$ and $k$ we have

$$
\left\|z_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|P_{j}^{* *} z_{k}\right\|+\left\|R_{j}^{* *}\left(y+z_{k}\right)\right\|+\left\|R_{j} y\right\|
$$

from (16) and (17) we get

$$
\underset{k}{\limsup }\left\|z_{k}\right\| \leq 2\left\|R_{j} y\right\|,
$$

so $\lim _{k}\left\|z_{k}\right\|=0$.
Assume now that $b \neq 0$. By homogeneity we may assume $b=1$. Suppose that for all $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}\right\| \geq 2 \varepsilon>0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x \in X$ we can find $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{m} x\right\|<\delta(\varepsilon) / 4 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (17) it follows that there exists $n$ such that for $k>n$ we have $\left\|P_{m}^{* *} z_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Then from (18) we have for $k>n$

$$
\left\|R_{m}^{* *} z_{k}\right\| \geq\left\|z_{k}\right\|-\left\|P_{m}^{* *} z_{k}\right\| \geq \varepsilon
$$

From (14) we deduce that for $k>n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\alpha= \pm 1}\left\|R_{m}^{* *}\left(u+\alpha z_{k}\right)\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *} u\right\|+\delta(\varepsilon) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) we have for all $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|R_{m}^{* *}\left(y+\alpha z_{k}\right)\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *}\left(u+\alpha z_{k}\right)\right\|-\left\|R_{m}^{* *} x\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *}\left(u+\alpha z_{k}\right)\right\|-\delta(\varepsilon) / 4, \\
& \left\|R_{m}^{* *} u\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *} y\right\|-\left\|R_{m}^{* *} x\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *} y\right\|-\delta(\varepsilon) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The last two inequalities and (20) imply that for $k>n$

$$
\max _{\alpha= \pm 1}\left\|R_{m}^{* *}\left(y+\alpha z_{k}\right)\right\| \geq\left\|R_{m}^{* *} y\right\|+\delta(\varepsilon) / 2
$$

which contradicts (16).

Corollary 3 The James space $J$ has an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ such that $(J,|\cdot|)^{* *}$ is MLUR.

Proof. Given $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in J$ let us consider the norm

$$
\|x\|=\sup \left\{\left(x_{i_{m}}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_{i_{j-1}}-x_{i_{j}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}: 1 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m}\right\} .
$$

Taking into account that $x_{i} \rightarrow 0$ it is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|$ is an equivalent norm in $J$.
For $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in J$, set $P_{j} x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{j}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$ and $R_{j} x=x-P_{j} x$. Since the unit vector basis in $(J,\|\cdot\|)$ is monotone and shrinking we have (see e.g. [10, p. 8]) for $z=\left(z_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in J^{* *}$ that

$$
\|z\|=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{\ell}\left\|P_{\ell}^{* *} z\right\|=\sup \left\{\left(z_{i_{m}}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(z_{i_{j-1}}-z_{i_{j}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}: 1 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m}\right\} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $J^{* *}=\operatorname{span}\{u, J\}$ where $u=(1,1, \ldots)$. From (21) it follows that for every $z \in J^{* *}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{j}^{* *}(u+z)\right\|^{2}+\left\|R_{j}^{* *}(u-z)\right\|^{2} \geq 2\left(\left\|R_{j}^{* *} u\right\|^{2}+\left\|R_{j}^{* *} z\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that $u$ satisfies (14). Given $\varepsilon>0$ we set $\delta(\varepsilon)=\min \left\{\varepsilon^{2} / 2,1\right\}$ and assume that for $z \in J^{* *}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\alpha= \pm 1}\left(\left\|R_{j}^{* *}(u+\alpha z)\right\|-\left\|R_{j}^{* *} u\right\|\right)<\delta(\varepsilon) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $\left\|R_{j}^{* *} u\right\|=1$ for all $j$ we deduce from (22) and (23)

$$
2\left\|R_{j}^{* *} z\right\|^{2} \leq \max _{\alpha= \pm 1}\left\{\left\|R_{j}^{* *}(u+\alpha z)\right\|^{2}-\left\|R_{j}^{* *} u\right\|^{2}\right\}<\delta(\varepsilon)\left(\left\|R_{j}^{* *} z\right\|+2\right)
$$

It is easy to see that this implies $\left\|R_{j}^{* *} z\right\|<\varepsilon$. Now we can apply the previous Proposition.
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